

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re: ) Case No. 20-12345 (MG)  
 )  
THE ROMAN CATHOLIC DIOCESE OF ) Chapter 11  
ROCKVILLE CENTRE, NEW YORK, )  
 )  
Debtor.<sup>1</sup> )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

**SEVENTH INTERIM APPLICATION FOR ALLOWANCE OF  
COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES BY  
BERKELEY RESEARCH GROUP, LLC AS FINANCIAL ADVISOR  
FOR THE PERIOD FROM OCTOBER 1, 2022 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 2023**

Name of Applicant:	Berkeley Research Group, LLC (“ <u>BRG</u> ”)
Authorized to Provide Professional Services to:	The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the “ <u>Committee</u> ”)
Date of Retention:	December 9, 2020 Effective as of October 29, 2020 [Docket No. 246]
Period for which Compensation and Reimbursement is Sought:	October 1, 2022 through January 31, 2023 <sup>2</sup>
Amount of Compensation Sought as Actual, Reasonable and Necessary:	\$573,973.50
Amount of Expense Reimbursement Sought as Actual, Reasonable and Necessary:	\$32.42 <sup>3</sup>

This is a:     \_\_\_ Monthly     x Interim     \_\_\_ Final Application.

<sup>1</sup> The Debtor in this chapter 11 case is The Roman Catholic Diocese of Rockville Centre, New York, the last four digits of its federal tax identification number are 7437, and its mailing address is 50 North Park Avenue, PO Box 9023, Rockville Centre, NY 11571-9023.

<sup>2</sup> The applicant reserves the right to include any time expended and expenses incurred in the period indicated above in future application(s) if it is not included herein.

<sup>3</sup> The date listed for expenses contained in Exhibit B does not necessarily reflect the date on which the expense was actually incurred by Applicant.

**Schedule 1**

**Prior Applications Filed**

<b>Date Filed Docket No.</b>	<b>Period Covered</b>	<b>Requested Fees</b>	<b>Requested Expenses</b>	<b>Order</b>	<b>Approved Fees</b>	<b>Approved Expenses</b>	<b>Paid Fees</b>	<b>Paid Expenses</b>	<b>Total Unpaid</b>
3/17/21 Dkt No. 412	10/1/20 – 1/31/21	\$36,026.00	\$25.32	4/16/21 Dkt No.456	\$36,026.00	\$25.32	\$36,026.00	\$25.32	\$0.00
7/19/21 Dkt No. 620	2/1/21 – 5/31/21	\$211,248.50	\$1,734.62	8/23/21 Dkt No. 699	\$211,248.50	\$1,734.62	\$211,248.50	\$1,734.62	\$0.00
11/15/21 Dkt No. 851	6/1/21 – 9/30/21	\$453,091.00	\$0.00	12/9/21 Dkt No. 908	\$453,091.00	\$0.00	\$453,091.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
3/15/22 Dkt No. 1012	10/1/21 – 1/31/22	\$358,313.00	\$0.00	4/13/22 Dkt No. 1071	\$357,638.00	\$0.00	\$357,638.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
7/14/22 Dkt No. 1200	2/1/22 – 5/31/22	\$561,216.50	\$2,576.57	9/14/22 Dkt No. 1313	\$561,216.50	\$2,576.57	\$561,216.50	\$2,576.57	\$0.00
11/14/22 Dkt No. 1446	6/1/22 – 9/30/22	\$722,232.50	\$1,250.00	12/16/22 Dkt No. 1516	\$722,232.50	\$1,250.00	\$722,232.50	\$1,250.00	\$0.00
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$2,342,127.50</b>	<b>\$5,586.51</b>		<b>\$2,341,452.50</b>	<b>\$5,586.51</b>	<b>\$2,341,452.50</b>	<b>\$5,586.51</b>	<b>\$ 0.00</b>

### Timekeeper Summary

Professional	Position	Hourly Billing Rate	Total Hours Billed	Total Compensation
Jennifer Hull (2023)	Managing Director	\$825	20.2	\$16,665.00
Jennifer Hull (2022)	Managing Director	\$810	7.5	\$6,075.00
David Judd (2023)	Managing Director	\$850	19.0	\$16,150.00
David Judd (2022)	Managing Director	\$795	2.5	\$1,987.50
Ray Strong (2023)	Managing Director	\$780	93.9	\$73,242.00
Ray Strong (2022)	Managing Director	\$735	156.2	\$114,807.00
Eric Madsen (2023)	Managing Director	\$775	27.1	\$21,002.50
Eric Madsen (2022)	Managing Director	\$750	12.5	\$9,375.00
Paul Shields (2023)	Managing Director	\$815	44.2	\$36,023.00
Paul Shields (2022)	Managing Director	\$765	42.7	\$32,665.50
Neil Librock	Managing Director	\$660	12.9	\$8,514.00
Matthew Babcock (2023)	Director	\$725	19.8	\$14,355.00
Matthew Babcock (2022)	Director	\$675	4.1	\$2,767.50
Jeffrey Shaw	Associate Director	\$635	6.1	\$3,873.50
Jared Funk	Senior Managing Consultant	\$595	5.2	\$3,094.00
Christina Tergevorkian (2023)	Managing Consultant	\$450	89.3	\$40,185.00
Nicholas Zeien	Managing Consultant	\$375	0.3	\$112.50
Shelby Chaffos (2023)	Consultant	\$385	44.3	\$17,055.50
Christina Tergevorkian (2022)	Consultant	\$385	336.1	\$129,398.50
John Freeman	Consultant	\$320	9.1	\$2,912.00
Shelby Chaffos (2022)	Senior Associate	\$330	39.9	\$13,167.00
Aaron R Olsen	Associate	\$285	2.5	\$712.50
Meagan Haverkamp (2023)	Case Manager	\$350	0.6	\$210.00
Meagan Haverkamp (2022)	Case Manager	\$300	9.5	\$2,850.00
Hannah Henritzy	Case Assistant	\$195	13.7	\$2,671.50
Brendan McCarthy	Case Assistant	\$195	3.9	\$760.50
Victoria Ingle (2023)	Case Assistant	\$150	11.3	\$1,695.00
Victoria Ingle (2022)	Case Assistant	\$135	12.2	\$1,647.00
<b>Total</b>			<b>1,046.60</b>	<b>\$573,973.50</b>
<b>Blended Rate</b>		<b>\$548.42</b>		

### Compensation by Category

Task Code	Project Category	Hours	Value
220.00	Debtors Operations / Monitoring (Monthly Operating Reports / Periodic Reporting)	24.8	\$12,216.50
300.00	Asset Analysis (General - Debtors)	37.1	\$20,708.00
311.00	Asset Analysis (Cash / Bank Accounts - Debtors Restricted / Identified Assets)	5.0	\$2,772.50
350.00	Asset Analysis (Insurance - Debtors)	20.7	\$16,136.50
390.00	Asset Analysis (Other - Debtors)	2.5	\$1,323.00
395.00	Asset Analysis (Other - Parishes)	295.2	\$154,076.00
396.00	Asset Analysis (Other - Cemeteries)	148.8	\$94,827.50
397.00	Asset Analysis (Other - Schools)	62.6	\$27,412.00
600.00	Claims / Liability Analysis (General)	129.7	\$72,674.50
610.00	Claims / Liability Analysis (Survivor Claims)	159.0	\$67,624.00
630.00	Claims / Liability Analysis (Pension)	16.6	\$9,246.00
800.00	Plan & Disclosure Statement Analysis	102.1	\$78,323.00
1020.00	Meeting Preparation & Attendance	6.9	\$4,335.50
1060.00	Fee Application Preparation & Hearing	35.6	\$12,298.50
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,046.60</b>	<b>\$573,973.50</b>

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:	)	Case No. 20-12345 (MG)
	)	
THE ROMAN CATHOLIC DIOCESE OF	)	Chapter 11
ROCKVILLE CENTRE, NEW YORK,	)	
	)	
Debtor. <sup>1</sup>	)	

---

**SEVENTH INTERIM APPLICATION FOR ALLOWANCE OF  
COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES BY  
BERKELEY RESEARCH GROUP, LLC AS FINANCIAL ADVISOR  
FOR THE PERIOD FROM OCTOBER 1, 2022 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 2023**

Berkeley Research Group, LLC (“BRG”), financial advisor to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the “Committee”) of above-captioned debtor (the “Debtor”), hereby submits this Seventh Interim Fee Application (the “Fee Application”) for the period from October 1, 2022 through January 31, 2023 (the “Fee Period”) in accordance with the *Order Authorizing Procedures for Interim Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses of Professionals* dated November 4, 2020 [Docket No. 129] (the “Interim Compensation Order”), Rule 2016 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), Rule 2016-1 of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York (the “Local Rules”), the Amended Guidelines for Fees and Disbursements for Professionals in the Southern District of New York Bankruptcy Cases, effective January 29, 2013 (together with the “Local Rules”, the “Local Guidelines”), the United States Trustee Guidelines for Reviewing Applications for Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses Filed Under 11 U.S.C. §330, effective January 31, 1996 (the “U.S. Trustee Guidelines”), and, under 11 U.S.C. §§331 and 105(a), this Court’s *Order Authorizing and Approving the Employment of Berkeley Research Group, LLC as Financial Advisor Effective as of October 29,*

---

<sup>1</sup> The Debtor in this chapter 11 case is The Roman Catholic Diocese of Rockville Centre, New York, the last four digits of its federal tax identification number are 7437, and its mailing address is 50 North Park Avenue, PO Box 9023, Rockville Centre, NY 11571-9023.

2020 [Docket No. 247] (the “Retention Order”). BRG requests an interim allowance of compensation in the amount of \$573,973.50 and actual and necessary expenses in the amount of \$32.42 for the Fee Period. In support of this Fee Application, BRG respectfully represents as follows:

### **PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

1. During the Fee Period, BRG advised and assisted the Committee in fulfilling its statutory obligations and duties to unsecured creditors and rendered services to the Committee in accordance with its instructions and directions. By this Fee Application, BRG requests that the Court authorize the interim allowance and payment of fees and expenses incurred by BRG during the Fee Period in the total amount of \$574,005.92 as compensation for services rendered to the Committee and actual and necessary expenses incurred. During the Fee Period, BRG expended 1,046.6 hours at a blended hourly rate of 548.42.

2. Attached hereto as **Exhibit C** is the schedule of BRG’s time and expense records for the Fee Period. These records include daily time logs describing the time spent by each BRG professional in these cases organized by task code and by date incurred. It further includes an itemized schedule of actual and necessary out-of-pocket expenses organized by expense category, including a description, incurred in connection with the case and the amounts for which reimbursement is requested.

3. By this Fee Application, BRG seeks interim allowance and payment of all compensation for services rendered and expenses incurred during the Fee Period.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4. This Court has jurisdiction to hear and determine this Fee Application pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and

1409. Sections 328(a), 330, and 1103(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 2014 are the statutory predicates for the relief sought by this Fee Application.

### **BACKGROUND**

5. On October 1, 2020 (the “Petition Date”), the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code with the Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. The Debtor is operating its business and managing its properties as debtor in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in this case.

6. On October 16, 2020, the Office of the United States Trustee (the “UST”) appointed the Committee pursuant to Section 1102 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Committee consists of nine individuals who hold claims against the Debtor, including eight individuals who were sexually abused as minors by perpetrators for whom the Debtor was responsible and one representative of a minor with a civil rights claim against the Debtor.

7. Following the Committee’s appointment, the Committee determined it needed a financial advisor and, subject to Court approval, hired BRG on October 29, 2020.

8. On November 20, 2020, the Committee filed *The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors’ Application to Retain and Employ Berkeley Research Group, LLC as Financial Advisor Effective as of October 29, 2020* [Docket No. 182] (the “Retention Application”). As set forth in the Retention Application, the Committee selected BRG to provide the following services to the Committee:

- i. assisting the Committee in investigating the assets, liabilities and financial condition of the Debtor or the Debtor’s operations and the desirability of the continuance of any portion of those operations, including a review of any donor restrictions on the Debtor’s assets;
- ii. assisting the Committee in the review of financial related disclosures required by the Court and/or Bankruptcy Code, including the Schedules of

Assets and Liabilities, the Statement of Financial Affairs, and Monthly Operating Reports;

- iii. analyzing the Debtor's accounting reports and financial statements to assess the reasonableness of the Debtor's financial disclosures;
- iv. providing forensic accounting and investigations with respect to transfers of the Debtor's assets and recovery of property of the estate;
- v. assisting the Committee in evaluating the Debtor's ownership interests of property alleged to be held in trust by the Debtor for the benefit of third parties and/or property alleged to be owned by non-debtor entities;
- vi. assisting the Committee in reviewing and evaluating any proposed asset sales and / or and other asset dispositions;
- vii. assisting the Committee in the evaluation of the Debtor's organizational structure, including its relationship with the related Catholic non-debtor organizations and parishes that may hold or have received property of the estate;
- viii. assisting the Committee in evaluating the Debtor's cash management system, including unrestricted and restricted funds, deposit and loan programs, and pooled income or investment funds;
- ix. assisting the Committee in the review of financial information that the Debtor may distribute to creditors and others, including, but not limited to, cash flow projections and budgets, cash receipt and disbursement analyses, analyses of various asset and liability accounts, and analyses of proposed transactions for which Court approval is sought;
- x. attendance at meetings and assistance in discussions with the Debtor, the Committee, the U.S. Trustee, and other parties in interest and professionals hired by the above-noted parties as requested;
- xi. assisting in the review and/or preparation of information and analyses necessary for the confirmation of a plan, or for the objection to any plan filed in this Case which the Committee opposes;
- xii. assisting the Committee in its evaluation of the Debtor's solvency;
- xiii. assisting the Committee with the evaluation and analysis of claims, and on any litigation matters, including, but not limited to, avoidance actions for fraudulent conveyances and preferential transfers, and declaratory relief actions concerning the property of the Debtor's estate;
- xiv. analyzing the flow of funds in and out of accounts the Debtor contends contain assets held in trust for others, to determine whether the funds were



commingled with non-trust funds and lost their character as trust funds, under applicable legal and accounting principles

- xv. assisting the Committee with respect to any adversary proceedings that may be filed in the Debtor's Case and providing such other services to the Committee as may be necessary in this Case.

9. On December 9, 2020, the Court entered the Retention Order. The Retention Order provides that all compensation and reimbursement of costs and expenses incurred during BRG's employment be paid only after appropriate application and approval of this Court.

### **PROFESSIONAL FEES AND DISBURSMENTS**

10. On March 17, 2021, BRG filed its First Interim Application for fees in the amount of \$36,026.00 and expenses in the amount \$25.32 for the period covering October 1, 2020 through January 31, 2021 [Docket No. 412].

11. On July 19, 2021, BRG filed its Second Interim Application for fees in the amount of \$211,248.50 and expenses in the amount of \$1,734.62 for the period covering February 1, 2021 through May 31, 2021 [Docket No. 620].

12. On November 15, 2021, BRG filed its Third Interim Application for fees in the amount of \$453,091.00 and expenses in the amount of \$0.00 for the period covering June 1, 2021 through September 30, 2021 [Docket No. 851].

13. On March 15, 2022, BRG filed its Fourth Interim Application for fees in the amount of \$358,313.00 and expenses in the amount of \$0.00 for the period covering October 1, 2021 through January 31, 2022 [Docket No. 1012].<sup>2</sup>

14. On July 14, 2022, BRG filed its Fifth Interim Application for fees in the amount of \$561,216.50 and expenses in the amount of \$2,576.57 for the period covering February 1, 2022 through May 31, 2022 [Docket No. 1200].

---

<sup>2</sup> BRG's Fourth Interim Application was approved in the amount of \$357,638.00 fees and \$0.00 expenses.

15. On November 11, 2022, BRG filed its Sixth Interim Application for fees in the amount of \$722,232.50 and expenses in the amount of \$1,250.00 for the period covering June 1, 2022 through September 30, 2022 [Docket No. 1446].

16. By this Application, BRG seeks allowance of fees in the amount of \$573,973.50 for professional services rendered for and on behalf of the Committee during the Fee Period and \$32.42 for expenses incurred during the Fee Period.

17. BRG charges for its services based on standard hourly rates established, subject to periodic adjustments to reflect economic and other conditions. Billing rates are representative of BRG's normal rates for services of this kind and are competitive with other financial advisors. BRG believes that the compensation in this Application is based on the customary compensation charged by comparably skilled professionals in cases other than cases under Title 11.

18. In addition, BRG bills for its actual, out-of-pocket expenses reasonably incurred in connection with this engagement including, but not limited to, travel expenses incurred in connection with the client's engagement that would not have been otherwise incurred, and industry or company-specific research as requested. BRG does not charge for telephone calls (except the cost of specifically identified conference call charges), faxes, copies, and other administrative expenses.

19. BRG maintains contemporaneous records of the time expended and actual, necessary expenses incurred in support of its billings. Time entries are recorded in six-minute increments.

#### **SUMMARY OF SERVICES RENDERED**

20. BRG is a global strategic advisory and expert consulting firm that provides independent expert testimony, litigation and regulatory support, authoritative studies, strategic

advice, advisory services relating to restructuring and turnaround situations, due diligence, valuation, and capital markets, and document and data analytics to major law firms, businesses, including Fortune 500 corporations, government agencies, and regulatory bodies around the world.

21. BRG has extensive experience in the areas of reorganization, workouts, insolvency, and accounting. The professionals engaged in these cases have also worked in numerous Catholic diocese bankruptcy cases throughout the country.

22. This bankruptcy case addresses issues that raise complex questions and requires a high level of skill and expertise to efficiently and accurately address the same. The professional services described herein were performed by BRG to, among other things, analyze and evaluate the Debtor's financial position and guide the Committee through the Debtor's Chapter 11 case.

23. During the Fee Period, the Committee relied heavily on the experience and expertise of BRG when dealing with the matters described herein. As a result, BRG devoted significant time and effort to perform properly and expeditiously the required professional services. BRG respectfully submits that the professional services that it rendered on behalf of the Committee were necessary and have directly benefited the creditor constituents represented by the Committee and have contributed to the effective administration of this case.

24. BRG submits that the interim fees applied for herein for professional services rendered in performing services for the Committee in this proceeding are fair and reasonable in view of the time spent, the extent of work performed, the nature of the Debtor's capitalization structure and financial condition, the Debtor's financial accounting resources and the results obtained.

25. The work involved, and thus the time expended, was carefully assigned in light of the experience and expertise required for a particular task. The staff utilized sought to optimize

efficiencies and avoid redundant efforts. BRG believes that there has been no duplication of services between BRG and any other consultants or accountants to the bankruptcy estate.

26. No agreement or understanding exists between BRG and any other person for the sharing of compensation received or to be received for services rendered in connection with the chapter 11 cases, except for internal agreements among employees of BRG regarding the sharing of revenue or compensation. Neither BRG nor any of its employees has entered into an agreement or understanding to share compensation with any entity as described in Bankruptcy Code Section 504 and Bankruptcy Rule 2016.

27. BRG, in accordance with the Bankruptcy Rules and the Local Rules, will be charging travel time at 50% of the time incurred.

28. The general summary of the services rendered by BRG during the Fee Period, based on tasks and number of hours is set forth below.

#### **220.00 – Debtors Operations / Monitoring (Monthly Operating Reports / Periodic Reports)**

29. BRG analyzed financial activity reported in monthly operating reports and supplemental MORs prepared by the Debtor, including detailed receipts and disbursements, accrued/paid professional fees, income statements, balance sheets, and ending bank balances for the months from August 2022 through December 2022 to monitor ongoing post-petition activities of the Debtor and report results to UCC Counsel. BRG also participated in calls with the Debtor's FA regarding additional information requests for certain asset/liability categories of the MOR financial statements and addressed questions resulting from its ongoing analysis.

30. BRG has expended 24.8 hours on this category for a fee of \$12,216.50.

#### **300.00 – Asset Analysis (General - Debtors)**

31. During the Fee Period, BRG continued to analyze financial statements, bank records, accounting system data, ending trial balances, audit work papers, and other financial

documentation / document productions received from the Debtor in relation to the broad range of ongoing asset and credit estimate analyses of the Diocese. In order to supplement its ongoing asset analysis and to inform the Committee, BRG spent time further evaluating methodologies to develop credit estimates and ability-to-pay analyses for the identification of assets available to creditors.

32. BRG has expended 37.1 hours on this category for a fee of \$20,708.00.

**311.00 – Asset Analysis (Cash / Bank Accounts - Debtors Restricted / Identified Assets)**

33. During the Fee Period, BRG continued its analysis of alleged restricted assets in bank and investment accounts as part of the comprehensive asset analysis. Additional time was spent meeting with UCC Counsel and team members to further develop the analysis.

34. BRG has expended 5.0 hours on this category for a fee of \$2,772.50.

**350.00 – Asset Analysis (Insurance - Debtors)**

35. BRG analyzed documents related to the Debtor’s self-insurance program (“PSIP”) and its policies and activities with Ecclesia, a captive insurance company owned by the Debtor. Analyses included the examination of financial statements, participant information, surpluses, reserves, actuarial reports, and other data provided by the Debtor. Further time was spent updating the ongoing insurance analysis, expanding on the evaluation of reserves/equity/net assets, preparing materials for UCC Counsel, and discussing insurance issues and analyses with UCC Counsel and team members. The assets and reserves analyzed involve tens of millions of dollars.

36. BRG has expended 20.7 hours on this category for a fee of \$16,136.50.

**390.00 – Asset Analysis (Other - Debtors)**

37. During the Fee Period, BRG evaluated and examined court filings relating to the sale process of EBS Spectrum licenses and Cell Tower properties. Additional time was spent discussing the license sale process with UCC Counsel.

38. BRG has expended 2.5 hours on this category for a fee of \$1,323.00.

**395.00 – Asset Analysis (Other - Parishes)**

39. BRG spent time during the Fee Period analyzing documents produced relating to parishes in connection with the broader asset analysis. Given the manner in which the documentation was produced, BRG developed “parser” code to provide some automation to the extraction of certain data from over 500 PDF files in an effort to minimize the costs of manual analysis. The Parish analyses included the evaluation of Parish financial statements including income statements, balance sheets, audit workpapers, and cash and investment information from 2018 through 2021 for the individual parishes that reported activity to the Diocese, including specifically looking at cash and investment levels, financial trends, liabilities, restricted assets, subsidies, and interest expenses. Further time was spent developing a financial trend analysis, developing a Parish ability-to-pay/substantial contribution analysis, and integrating the Parish claims analysis therewith. BRG also met with UCC Counsel and other BRG personnel regarding Parish assets, historical operations, and related analyses regarding the Parish assets and ongoing ability-to-pay analysis.

40. BRG has expended 295.2 hours on this category for a fee of \$154,076.00.

**396.00 – Asset Analysis (Other - Cemeteries)**

41. During the Fee Period, BRG examined document productions related to the financial activities and operations of the cemeteries including Board meeting minutes, financial statements, audit reports, and information on permanent maintenance. BRG continued to monitor document production to determine document compliance and identify and update outstanding requests. BRG also developed and updated financial models with new information to further evaluate cemetery assets, operations, revenues, debt load, capacity, and permanent maintenance/perpetual care requirements for the evaluation of assets available to creditors. BRG

began outlining the key assumptions used in its analyses to prepare for settlement discussions with Catholic Cemeteries / CemCo. BRG met with UCC Counsel and other BRG personnel regarding its ongoing analyses, document production-related issues, and to discuss considerations for settlement discussions.

42. BRG has expended 148.80 hours on this category for a fee of \$94,827.50.

**397.00 – Asset Analysis (Other - Schools)**

43. BRG spent time during the Fee Period analyzing documents produced relating to Parish schools. Given the manner in which the documentation was produced, BRG developed “parser” code to provide some automation to the extraction of certain data from over 130 PDF files in an effort to minimize the costs of manual analysis. The Parish school analyses included the evaluation of financial statements including income statements, balance sheets, and cash and investment information from 2018 through 2021 for the individual Parish schools that reported activity to the Diocese, including specifically looking at cash and investment levels, financial trends, liabilities, restricted assets, and subsidies. Further time was spent developing a financial trend analysis and developing a Parish school ability-to-pay analysis. BRG also met with UCC Counsel and other BRG personnel regarding Parish school assets, historical operations, and related analyses regarding the Parish assets and ongoing ability-to-pay analysis. Additionally, BRG developed further analyzes relating to the Department of Education to assess available assets to pay creditors and support UCC Counsel in preparation for mediation.

44. BRG has expended 62.60 hours on this category for a fee of \$27,412.00.

**600 – Claims / Liability Analysis (General)**

45. BRG spent time during the Fee Period evaluating the Debtor’s cash flow projections and loan requirements relating to the proposed solicitation of a Debtor-in-Possession

financing facility (“DIP Facility”). The analysis included the examination of historical financial performance reported in the Monthly Operating Reports filed since the Bankruptcy Petition, Debtor’s assumptions and representations contained in its cash flow forecasting model, financial activities involving various revenue/expense general ledger accounts, historical professional fees/restructuring costs, the Committee objection and Debtor’s response to the employment of Jefferies, and evaluation of other available funding sources from Debtor Affiliates. BRG also developed additional document requests and inquiries for the Debtor’s FA to understand the underlying detailed assumptions of the Debtor’s financial forecasts. BRG met with UCC Counsel and other BRG personnel to discuss the evaluation of the proposed DIP Facility and projected cash requirements.

46. BRG has expended 129.70 hours on this category for a fee of \$72,674.50.

**610.00 – Claims / Liability Analysis (Survivor Claims)**

47. During the Fee Period, BRG analyzed and compared the bankruptcy claims filed by abuse survivors resulting from Child Victims Act complaints filed in state court and the bankruptcy claims process. BRG’s analyses included the evaluation of potential duplicate claims; missing data; claimant’s legal counsel; identification of specific claims/litigation filed against the Debtor, its affiliates, and entities allegedly not affiliated with the Debtor; and data relating to available insurance policies and coverage. Additional time was spent integrating the results of the various analyses as well as updating the ongoing analyses pursuant to additional UCC Counsel requests. BRG also spent time discussing matters with UCC Counsel and other BRG personnel regarding the results of the claims data analysis, state court litigation analysis, and insurance analysis.

48. BRG has expended 159.0 hours on this category for a fee of \$67,624.00.



**630.00 – Claims / Liability Analysis (Pension)**

49. During the Fee Period, BRG examined pension/benefit plans and audit workpaper documentation produced by the Debtor in conjunction with the development and updating of the pension analysis. Further time was spent meeting with the UCC Counsel, pension and benefits experts, and other BRG personnel to discuss the ongoing pension plan analysis.

50. BRG has expended 16.6 hours on this category for a fee of \$9,246.00.

**800.00 – Plan & Disclosure Statement Analysis**

51. During the Fee Period, BRG analyzed various issues relating to the development of a Plan of Reorganization and the preparation of a required Disclosure Statement. BRG's work included examining data and documents, including the filed Diocese's Plan and Disclosure Statement, assets available for funding a Plan, New York Liquidation Bureau data, and financial and operational documents relating to the Protected Self Insurance Program ("PSIP") and Ecclesia. BRG also supported UCC Counsel in the development of a Committee Plan and Disclosure Statement, including developing related financial analyses, evaluating Plan options, analyzing Debtor assets, liabilities, benefits plans, and assessing Plan funding issues. BRG spent additional time discussing with UCC Counsel, Committee Experts, and other BRG Personnel issues relating to Plan confirmation, as well as the status of ongoing analyses related to the development of a Disclosure Statement.

52. BRG has expended 102.1 hours on this category for a fee of \$78,323.00.

**1020.00 – Meeting Preparation & Attendance**

53. During the Fee Period, BRG attended calls with UCC Counsel and other BRG personnel regarding the discussion of current analyses, the status thereof, and upcoming assignments.

54. BRG has expended 6.9 hours on this category for a fee of \$4,335.50.

### **1060.00 – Fee Application Preparation & Hearing**

55. During the Fee Period, BRG prepared and edited its Sixth Interim Fee Application for the period covering June 1, 2022 through September 30, 2022 (as well as attending the related hearing) and commencing preparation of the BRG Seventh Interim Fee Application for October 2022 through January 2023.

56. BRG has expended 35.6 hours on this category for a fee of \$12,298.50.

### **ALLOWANCE OF COMPENSATION**

57. Section 330(a)(1)(A) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that the Court may award to a professional person, “reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services rendered.” 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1)(A). Section 330(a)(3)(A), in turn, provides that in determining the amount of reasonable compensation to be awarded, the Court shall consider the nature, the extent, and the value of such services, taking into account all relevant factors, including:

- i. The time spent on such services;
- ii. The rates charges for such services;
- iii. Whether the services were necessary to the administration of, or beneficial at the time which the service was rendered toward the completion of, a case under this title;
- iv. Whether the services were performed within a reasonable amount of time commensurate with the complexity, importance, and nature of the problem, issue, or task addressed; and
- v. Whether the compensation is reasonable based on the customary compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners in cases other than cases under this title.

58. The congressional policy expressed above provides for adequate compensation in order to continue to attract qualified and competent professionals to bankruptcy cases. BRG respectfully submits that the consideration of these factors should result in this Court’s allowance of the full compensation sought.

### **CERTIFICATION**

59. As required by the Guidelines, a certification that the facts set forth in this Application are true and correct, and that this Application complies substantially with the Local Guidelines, is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and made part of this Application.

### **NOTICE**

60. Pursuant to the Interim Compensation Order, BRG has provided notice of this Fee Application upon the following parties by electronic or first class mail: (a) the Debtor c/o The Roman Catholic Diocese of Rockville Centre, 50 North Park Ave P.O. Box 9023, Rockville Center, NY 11571-9023 (Attn: Thomas Renker); (b) the attorneys for the Debtor, Jones Day, 250 Vesey Street, New York, NY 10281 (Attn: Corinne Ball, Esq., Benjamin Rosenblum, Esq. and Andrew M. Butler, Esq.); and (c) the Office of the United States Trustee Region 2 (the “U.S. Trustee”), 201 Varick Street, Suite 1006, New York, NY 10014 (Attn: Greg Zipes, Esq. and Shara Cornell, Esq.); and (d) all parties entitled to notice pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. The Committee submits that, in light of the nature of the relief requested, no other or further notice need be given.

61. This is BRG’s seventh interim fee application pursuant to sections 330 and 331 of the Bankruptcy Code for allowance of fees and reimbursement of expenses in this Chapter 11 Case. Except as otherwise set forth herein, BRG has made no prior or other application to this or any other Court for the relief requested herein.

### **CONCLUSION**

62. BRG respectfully requests that the Court enter an order, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit B**, (a) granting on an interim basis, allowance of (i) fees in the amount of \$573,973.50 for professional services rendered to and on behalf of the Committee during the Fee Period and (ii) reimbursement of \$32.42 for reasonable, actual and necessary expenses

incurred during the Fee Period, (b) authorizing and directing the Debtor to immediately pay to BRG the outstanding fees and expenses due to BRG for the Fee Period; and (c) granting such further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Date: March 10, 2023

**PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP**

/s/ James I. Stang

James I. Stang, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
10100 Santa Monica, Boulevard, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90067  
Telephone: (310) 277-6910  
Facsimile: (310) 201-0760  
Email: jstang@pszjlaw.com

-and-

Ilan D. Scharf, Esq.  
Karen B. Dine, Esq.  
Brittany M. Michael, Esq.  
780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
Telephone: (212) 561-7700  
Facsimile: (212) 561-7777  
Email: kdine@pszjlaw.com  
ischarf@pszjlaw.com  
bmichael@pszjlaw.com

*Counsel for the Official Committee  
of Unsecured Creditors*

# EXHIBIT A

### **Certification**

The undersigned, a Managing Director of BRG, certifies that except as otherwise noted elsewhere:

1. He has read this Fee Application.
2. This Fee Application complies with the mandatory provisions of the Guidelines;
3. The fees are billed in accordance with the billing practices described herein, and except as otherwise indicated fall within the Guidelines; and
4. Except to the extent prohibited by the Guidelines, the fees sought herein have been billed at rates and in accordance with practices customarily employed by BRG and accepted by BRG's clients.
5. BRG submits that this Fee Application is in compliance with Bankruptcy Code sections 330 and 331, the Bankruptcy Rules, the Interim Compensation Order, and the Guidelines.

Dated: March 17, 2023  
Salt Lake City, UT

/s/ D. Ray Strong  
D. Ray Strong

# EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:	)	Case No. 20-12345 (MG)
	)	
THE ROMAN CATHOLIC DIOCESE OF	)	Chapter 11
ROCKVILLE CENTRE, NEW YORK,	)	
	)	
Debtor. <sup>1</sup>	)	

---

**ORDER GRANTING  
SEVENTH INTERIM APPLICATION FOR ALLOWANCE OF  
COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES BY  
BERKELEY RESEARCH GROUP, LLC AS FINANCIAL ADVISOR  
FOR THE PERIOD FROM OCTOBER 1, 2022 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 2023**

Berkeley Research Group, LLC (“BRG”), financial advisor to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors in the above-captioned case, filed its Seventh Interim Application for Compensation for the Period from October 1, 2022 through January 31, 2023 (the “Fee Application”). The Court has reviewed the Fee Application and finds that: (a) the Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; (b) notice of the Fee Application, and any hearing on the Fee Application, was adequate under the circumstances; and (c) all persons with standing have been afforded the opportunity to be heard on the Fee Application. Accordingly, it is hereby

**ORDERED** that the Fee Application is GRANTED. The Debtor in the above case shall pay to BRG interim fees in the total amount of \$574,005.92 for services rendered and actual and necessary expenses incurred in the Chapter 11 case during the Fee Period.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Debtor in this chapter 11 case is The Roman Catholic Diocese of Rockville Centre, New York, the last four digits of its federal tax identification number are 7437, and its mailing address is 50 North Park Avenue, P.O. Box 9023, Rockville Centre, NY 11571-9023.



**ORDERED** that this Court retains jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Order.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2023  
New York, New York

---

THE HONORABLE MARTIN GLENN  
CHIEF UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

# EXHIBIT C



James I Stang  
Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones  
10100 Santa Monica Blvd.  
13th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90067

March 17, 2023  
Client: 21145  
Matters: 034827 | 042179 | 045293  
Invoice #: 148631  
Tax ID # 27-1451273

Via Email: [jstang@pszjlaw.com](mailto:jstang@pszjlaw.com)

Services Rendered From October 1, 2022 Through January 31, 2023

**RE: The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors for the Roman Catholic  
Diocese of Rockville Centre, New York**

Professional Services	\$ 573,973.50	USD
Expenses Incurred	<u>32.42</u>	
<b>CURRENT CHARGES</b>	<b>\$ 574,005.92</b>	<b>USD</b>

**PAYMENT IS DUE BY April 16, 2023**

Please direct questions regarding this invoice to: Matthew Babcock at (801) 364-6233 or [MBabcock@thinkbrg.com](mailto:MBabcock@thinkbrg.com).

**Please remit wire/ACH payment to:**

Bank Name: PNC BANK, N.A.  
SWIFT: PNCCUS33  
ABA #: 031207607  
Account Name: BERKELEY RESEARCH GROUP, LLC  
Account #: 8026286672  
Reference: 148631

Remittance advice to be sent to:  
[remitadvice@thinkbrg.com](mailto:remitadvice@thinkbrg.com)

**Please remit check payment to:**

BERKELEY RESEARCH GROUP, LLC  
PO BOX 676158  
DALLAS, TX 75267-6158

**Please remit express/overnight payment to:**

PNC BANK C/O BERKELEY RESEARCH GROUP, LLC  
LOCKBOX NUMBER 676158  
1200 E CAMPBELL RD, STE 108  
RICHARDSON, TX 75081

**\*\*Due to COVID-19, we are kindly requesting all payments to be made electronically.**



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 2 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

Services Rendered From October 1, 2022 Through January 31, 2023

**PROFESSIONAL SERVICES**

	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>
<b>Managing Director</b>			
Jennifer Hull	825.00	20.20	16,665.00
Jennifer Hull	810.00	7.50	6,075.00
David Judd	850.00	19.00	16,150.00
David Judd	795.00	2.50	1,987.50
Ray Strong	780.00	93.90	73,242.00
Ray Strong	735.00	156.20	114,807.00
Eric Madsen	775.00	27.10	21,002.50
Eric Madsen	750.00	12.50	9,375.00
Paul Shields	815.00	44.20	36,023.00
Paul Shields	765.00	42.70	32,665.50
Neil Librock	660.00	12.90	8,514.00
<b>Director</b>			
Matthew Babcock	725.00	19.80	14,355.00
Matthew Babcock	675.00	4.10	2,767.50
<b>Associate Director</b>			
Jeffrey Shaw	635.00	6.10	3,873.50
<b>Senior Managing Consultant</b>			
Jared Funk	595.00	5.20	3,094.00
<b>Managing Consultant</b>			
Christina Tergevorkian	450.00	89.30	40,185.00
Nicholas Zeien	375.00	0.30	112.50
<b>Consultant</b>			
Shelby Chaffos	385.00	44.30	17,055.50
Christina Tergevorkian	385.00	336.10	129,398.50
John Freeman	320.00	9.10	2,912.00
<b>Senior Associate</b>			
Shelby Chaffos	330.00	39.90	13,167.00
<b>Associate</b>			
Aaron R. Olsen	285.00	2.50	712.50
<b>Case Manager</b>			
Meagan B. Haverkamp	350.00	0.60	210.00
Meagan B. Haverkamp	300.00	9.50	2,850.00

**Case Assistant**



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 3 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Hannah Henritzy	195.00	13.70	2,671.50
Brendan McCarthy	195.00	3.90	760.50
Victoria Ingle	150.00	11.30	1,695.00
Victoria Ingle	135.00	12.20	1,647.00
<b>Total Professional Services</b>		<b>1,046.60</b>	<b>573,973.50</b>
<b>EXPENSES</b>			
Publications			32.42
<b>Total Expenses</b>			<b>32.42</b>



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 4 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

#### SUMMARY BY TASK CODE

<u>Task Code</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>
220.00	Debtors Operations / Monitoring (Monthly Operating Reports / Periodic Reporting)	24.80	12,216.50
300.00	Asset Analysis (General - Debtors)	37.10	20,708.00
311.00	Asset Analysis (Cash / Bank Accounts - Debtors Restricted / Identified Assets)	5.00	2,772.50
350.00	Asset Analysis (Insurance - Debtors)	20.70	16,136.50
390.00	Asset Analysis (Other - Debtors)	2.50	1,323.00
395.00	Asset Analysis (Other - Parishes)	295.20	154,076.00
396.00	Asset Analysis (Other - Cemeteries)	148.80	94,827.50
397.00	Asset Analysis (Other - Schools)	62.60	27,412.00
600.00	Claims / Liability Analysis (General)	129.70	72,674.50
610.00	Claims / Liability Analysis (Survivor Claims)	159.00	67,624.00
630.00	Claims / Liability Analysis (Pension)	16.60	9,246.00
800.00	Plan & Disclosure Statement Analysis	102.10	78,323.00
1020.00	Meeting Preparation & Attendance	6.90	4,335.50
1060.00	Fee Application Preparation & Hearing	35.60	12,298.50
<b>Total Professional Services</b>		<b>1,046.60</b>	<b>573,973.50</b>



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 5 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

Services Rendered From October 1, 2022 Through January 31, 2023

**DETAIL OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES**

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
<b>Task Code: 220.00 - Debtors Operations / Monitoring (Monthly Operating Reports / Periodic Reporting)</b>					
10/11/22	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed MOR cash receipts and disbursements for months June 2022 - August 2022.	1.40	330.00	462.00
10/11/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed August 2022 ending bank statement balances in the MOR Report.	0.70	385.00	269.50
10/11/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed financial statements contained in MOR report for August 2022.	0.80	385.00	308.00
11/02/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed September 2022 ending bank statement balances in the MOR Report.	0.40	385.00	154.00
11/02/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed financial statements contained in MOR report for September 2022.	0.50	385.00	192.50
11/29/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed September MOR for updated asset information pursuant to UCC Counsel inquiry.	0.20	735.00	147.00
11/29/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed the Diocese ending cash balance from September 2022 MOR report.	0.60	385.00	231.00
11/29/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed the Diocese ending cash balance from August 2022 MOR report.	0.40	385.00	154.00
11/30/22	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed MOR cash receipts and disbursements for month September 2022.	0.50	330.00	165.00
12/01/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed October 2022 ending bank statement balances in the MOR Report.	0.30	385.00	115.50
12/01/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed financial statements contained in MOR report for October 2022.	0.50	385.00	192.50
12/12/22	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed MOR cash receipts and disbursements for month October 2022.	0.70	330.00	231.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 6 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
12/30/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Debtor MORs to monitor professional fees incurred to date.	1.10	735.00	808.50
01/05/23	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed professional approved fees to cash disbursements made to professionals from the MOR supplementals for October 2020 to October 2022.	2.60	385.00	1,001.00
01/06/23	Matthew Babcock	Met with UCC (BM-Partial, KD) and BRG (RS, PS, CT, SC) in order to analyze Debtor's post-petition cash flow projections/requirements.	1.30	725.00	942.50
01/06/23	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed November 2022 ending bank statement balances in the MOR Report.	0.70	385.00	269.50
01/06/23	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed MOR cash receipts and disbursements for the month of November 2022.	0.80	385.00	308.00
01/06/23	Shelby Chaffos	Spoke with BRG (MB, PS, RS, CT) and UCC Counsel (BM-Partial, KD) to discuss ongoing cash flow analyses.	1.30	385.00	500.50
01/06/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with Debtor's Financial Advisors (CM, AC, DD) and BRG (RS, CT) regarding inquiries relating to MOR post-petition liabilities.	0.30	815.00	244.50
01/06/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with UCC Counsel (BM-Partial, KD) and BRG (RS, MB, CT, SC) regarding Debtor's post-petition cash flow requirements.	1.30	815.00	1,059.50
01/06/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with UCC Counsel (KD, BM-Partial) and BRG (PS, CT, SC, MB) regarding Debtor's post-petition cash flow projections/requirements.	1.30	780.00	1,014.00
01/06/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (RS, PS) and A&M (AC, CM, DD) to discuss November MOR information regarding post-petition liabilities.	0.30	450.00	135.00
01/06/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (RS, PS, MB, SC) and UCC Committee (KD, BM-Partial) to discuss post-petition cash flow projections/requirements.	1.30	450.00	585.00
01/06/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined November MOR reports in preparation to update analyses.	0.30	450.00	135.00





To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 7 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/09/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed MOR Reports to evaluate financial statements for November 2022 for post-petition monitoring.	0.40	450.00	180.00
01/23/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined follow up items provided from A&M on November MOR supplemental requests.	0.80	450.00	360.00
01/24/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Continued to examine follow up items provided from A&M on November MOR supplemental requests.	0.90	450.00	405.00
01/31/23	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed MOR cash receipts and disbursements for the month of December 2022.	0.70	385.00	269.50
01/31/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with UCC Counsel (BM) regarding December 2022 MOR.	0.30	780.00	234.00
01/31/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed December 2022 MOR filed by Debtor.	0.60	780.00	468.00
01/31/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed December 2022 ending bank statement balances in the MOR Report.	0.60	450.00	270.00
01/31/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed MOR Reports to evaluate financial statements for December 2022 for post-petition monitoring.	0.90	450.00	405.00
<b>Total for Task Code 220.00</b>			<b>24.80</b>		<b>12,216.50</b>
<b>Task Code: 300.00 - Asset Analysis (General - Debtors)</b>					
11/23/22	Shelby Chaffos	Spoke with BRG (PS, CT [partial], NL) relating to the credit analysis methodology and supporting documentation (partial call).	0.30	330.00	99.00
11/23/22	Neil Librock	Spoke with BRG (PS, CT [partial], SC [partial]) regarding credit analysis methodology and supporting documentation.	0.40	660.00	264.00
11/23/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (NL, CT [partial], SC [partial]) regarding credit analysis methodology and supporting documentation.	0.40	765.00	306.00
11/23/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (PS, SC [partial], NL) to discuss credit estimate analysis (partial).	0.30	385.00	115.50



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 8 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
12/02/22	Neil Librock	Analyzed credit rating methodology in context of evaluating assets available to pay creditors.	1.40	660.00	924.00
12/03/22	Neil Librock	Analyzed credit rating methodology in context of evaluating assets available to pay creditors.	1.40	660.00	924.00
12/05/22	Neil Librock	Examined credit rating methodology in context of evaluating assets available to pay creditors.	1.30	660.00	858.00
12/06/22	Neil Librock	Evaluated Moody's Score Indicated Outcome for ability-to-pay analysis.	2.10	660.00	1,386.00
12/07/22	Neil Librock	Examined historical financial assets for credit estimate relating to analysis of available assets to pay creditors.	1.90	660.00	1,254.00
12/08/22	Paul Shields	Evaluated financial information in preparation for call with UCC Counsel and BRG regarding work to perform.	0.10	765.00	76.50
12/08/22	Paul Shields	Evaluated updates to Diocese asset analysis for ability-to-pay.	0.10	765.00	76.50
12/09/22	Victoria Ingle	Analyzed ending trial balance amounts for FY 2018 in preparation to tie back to the general ledger.	2.10	135.00	283.50
12/09/22	Victoria Ingle	Analyzed ending trial balance amounts for FY 2019 in preparation to tie back to the general ledger.	2.00	135.00	270.00
12/09/22	Neil Librock	Analyzed DRVC financial summary relating to development of credit estimate for ability-to-pay analysis.	1.70	660.00	1,122.00
12/12/22	Victoria Ingle	Examined ending trial balance amounts for FY 2020 in preparation to tie back to the general ledger.	1.30	135.00	175.50
12/12/22	Victoria Ingle	Evaluated ending trial balance amounts for FY 2021 in preparation to tie back to the general ledger.	0.60	135.00	81.00
12/12/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed audit workpapers received for evaluation of assets available to creditors.	1.20	735.00	882.00
12/13/22	Victoria Ingle	Analyzed ending trial balance amounts for FY 2021 in preparation to tie back to the general ledger.	0.80	135.00	108.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 9 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
12/13/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed audit workpapers received for evaluation of assets available to creditors.	1.70	735.00	1,249.50
12/14/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed audit workpapers received for evaluation of assets available to creditors.	2.10	735.00	1,543.50
12/15/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed general ledger detail for Diocese administration office for Statement of Activities transactions for evaluation of historical income/losses relating to assets available to creditors.	2.70	735.00	1,984.50
12/15/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated Diocese of Rockville Centre credit estimate analysis to include October 2022 MOR financials.	1.80	385.00	693.00
12/15/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated Diocese of Rockville Centre sensitivity analysis to include October 2022 MOR financials.	0.90	385.00	346.50
12/16/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed general ledger detail for Diocese administration office for Statement of Activities transactions for evaluation of historical income/losses relating assets available to creditors.	2.20	735.00	1,617.00
12/20/22	Neil Librock	Consulted with BRG (PS) regarding preliminary DRVC credit estimate for asset analysis.	1.00	660.00	660.00
12/20/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (NL) to evaluate DRVC credit estimate for asset analysis.	1.00	765.00	765.00
12/29/22	Paul Shields	Analyzed financial information in the context of updating ability to pay assessment.	2.50	765.00	1,912.50
12/29/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated Diocese of Rockville Centre credit estimate sensitivity analysis.	0.80	385.00	308.00
12/30/22	Paul Shields	Prepared for upcoming call with UCC Counsel relating to Diocese assets available to pay creditors.	0.10	765.00	76.50
01/06/23	Shelby Chaffos	Attended call with BRG (CT, PS) relating to the financial benchmarking analysis for ability-to-pay analysis.	0.90	385.00	346.50
<b>Total for Task Code 300.00</b>			<b>37.10</b>		<b>20,708.00</b>



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 10 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

Date	Name	Description	Hours	Rate	Amount
<b>Task Code: 311.00 - Asset Analysis (Cash / Bank Accounts - Debtors Restricted / Identified Assets)</b>					
01/04/23	Matthew Babcock	Analyzed alleged restricted assets in bank and investment accounts for asset analysis.	0.60	725.00	435.00
01/05/23	Matthew Babcock	Continued analysis of alleged restricted assets in bank and investment accounts for asset analysis.	0.80	725.00	580.00
01/12/23	Matthew Babcock	Met with UCC Counsel (KD, BM, GB) and BRG (CT) in order to discuss issues related to alleged restricted assets in bank and investment accounts.	0.50	725.00	362.50
01/12/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (MB) and UCC Counsel (KD, GB, BM) to discuss alleged restricted assets.	0.50	450.00	225.00
01/12/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Compiled alleged restricted asset documents for UCC Counsel review.	0.60	450.00	270.00
01/12/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated administrative office alleged restricted assets schedule to include November 2022 MOR bank balances.	2.00	450.00	900.00
<b>Total for Task Code 311.00</b>			<b>5.00</b>		<b>2,772.50</b>
<b>Task Code: 350.00 - Asset Analysis (Insurance - Debtors)</b>					
10/03/22	Jennifer Hull	Evaluated Ecclesia audit workpapers for actuary reports relevant to asset analysis.	2.50	810.00	2,025.00
11/11/22	Jennifer Hull	Responded to UCC Counsel (IN) queries with data regarding insurance reserves.	0.90	810.00	729.00
12/19/22	Jennifer Hull	Reviewed PSIP data about reserves and surplus to prepare for call with UCC Counsel (IN).	1.70	810.00	1,377.00
12/19/22	Jennifer Hull	Participated in a call with UCC Counsel (IN) about PSIP and Ecclesia reserves and surplus.	0.40	810.00	324.00
12/22/22	Jennifer Hull	Prepared data for UCC Counsel (IN) about PSIP/Ecclesia reserves/surplus.	1.50	810.00	1,215.00
12/28/22	Jennifer Hull	Responded to inquiry from UCC Counsel (IN) about surplus/reserves of Ecclesia/PSIP.	0.50	810.00	405.00
01/06/23	Jennifer Hull	Attended a call with BRG (PS, CT) regarding evaluations of PSIP's balance sheet.	0.50	825.00	412.50



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 11 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/06/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (JH, CT) regarding financial analysis of PSIP.	0.50	815.00	407.50
01/06/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (PS, JH) to discuss PSIP analysis.	0.50	450.00	225.00
01/10/23	Jennifer Hull	Evaluated Diocese response to requests for insurance loss data.	0.50	825.00	412.50
01/13/23	Jennifer Hull	Reviewed actuary reports to respond to inquiries about discounting.	0.40	825.00	330.00
01/13/23	Jennifer Hull	Reviewed coverage and reinsurance at Ecclesia to respond to queries from UCC Counsel.	0.50	825.00	412.50
01/17/23	Jennifer Hull	Analyzed disclosures regarding regulatory restrictions on dividends from Ecclesia.	0.50	825.00	412.50
01/17/23	Jennifer Hull	Examined Diocese reply regarding Jefferies/workers compensation loss data to respond to claims about estimation uncertainty in reserves.	1.40	825.00	1,155.00
01/17/23	Jennifer Hull	Reviewed personal injury coverage at PSIP/Ecclesia to respond to UCC Counsel inquiries.	0.60	825.00	495.00
01/18/23	Jennifer Hull	Analyzed ability of PSIP assets to cover PSIP insurance obligations.	1.00	825.00	825.00
01/28/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed PSIP insurance participants by year pursuant to request of UCC Counsel.	2.30	780.00	1,794.00
01/29/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed PSIP insurance participants by year pursuant to request of UCC Counsel.	2.40	780.00	1,872.00
01/31/23	Ray Strong	Evaluated PSIP/Ecclesia insurance issues for development of Plan and Disclosure Statement.	1.10	780.00	858.00
01/31/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed PSIP general ledger data relating to Ecclesia payments/transfers from 2010 to 2022.	1.00	450.00	450.00
<b>Total for Task Code 350.00</b>			<b>20.70</b>		<b>16,136.50</b>
<b>Task Code: 390.00 - Asset Analysis (Other - Debtors)</b>					
01/17/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with UCC Counsel (KD, BM) to discuss FCC license sale process.	0.60	780.00	468.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 12 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/30/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined documents produced for proposed EBS Spectrum sale.	0.40	450.00	180.00
01/30/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Evaluated court filings relating to proposed EBS Spectrum sale.	1.50	450.00	675.00
<b>Total for Task Code 390.00</b>			<b>2.50</b>		<b>1,323.00</b>
<b>Task Code: 395.00 - Asset Analysis (Other - Parishes)</b>					
10/01/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined Parish income statement data in preparation for evaluation of assets available to pay creditors.	1.30	385.00	500.50
10/03/22	John Freeman	Developed data parser for analysis of Parish school data files produced by Diocese.	2.90	320.00	928.00
10/03/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish balance sheets produced for parishes A-M from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	2.10	735.00	1,543.50
10/03/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated Parish modeling with additional data to evaluate available assets to pay creditors.	1.50	385.00	577.50
10/04/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish balance sheets produced for parishes N-O from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	1.90	735.00	1,396.50
10/05/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish balance sheets produced for parishes P - St. Peter & Paul from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	2.80	735.00	2,058.00
10/05/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish balance sheets produced for parishes St. Agnes - St. Hyacinth from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	2.60	735.00	1,911.00
10/05/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish balance sheets produced for parishes St. Ignatius - St. Lukes from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	1.50	735.00	1,102.50
10/06/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish balance sheets produced for parishes St. Pius - The Church of Cure from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	2.70	735.00	1,984.50
10/06/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish income statements produced for parishes Assumption of BVM to Good Shepard from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	1.50	735.00	1,102.50



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 13 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
10/06/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish balance sheets produced for parishes St. Margaret - St. Philip from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	2.50	735.00	1,837.50
10/06/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined FY2018 parish income statement data for parishes in towns starting with T - W for evaluation of assets available to pay creditors.	2.50	385.00	962.50
10/06/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined FY2018 parish income statement data for parishes in towns starting with A - G for evaluation of assets available to pay creditors.	2.70	385.00	1,039.50
10/06/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined FY2018 parish income statement data for parishes in towns starting with H - S for evaluation of assets available to pay creditors.	2.60	385.00	1,001.00
10/07/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish income statements produced for parishes Our Holy Redeemer to Our Lady of Lourdes from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	1.50	735.00	1,102.50
10/07/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish income statements produced for parishes Holy Family - Notre Dame from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	2.30	735.00	1,690.50
10/07/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish income statements produced for parishes SAssumption of BVM to Good Shepard from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	2.70	735.00	1,984.50
10/07/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined FY2018 parish income statement data for parishes in towns starting with D - L for evaluation of assets available to pay creditors.	2.90	385.00	1,116.50
10/07/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined FY2018 parish income statement data for parishes in towns starting with M - R for evaluation of assets available to pay creditors.	2.30	385.00	885.50
10/07/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined FY2018 parish income statement data for parishes in towns starting with S - W for evaluation of assets available to pay creditors.	1.90	385.00	731.50





To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 14 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
10/07/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined FY2018 parish income statement data for parishes in towns starting with A - D for evaluation of assets available to pay creditors.	0.90	385.00	346.50
10/10/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish income statements produced for parishes Our Holy Redeemer to Our Lady of Lourdes from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	1.50	735.00	1,102.50
10/10/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish income statements produced for parishes Our Lady of Mercy - Our Lady of Snow Church from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	2.10	735.00	1,543.50
10/10/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined FY2020 parish income statement data for parishes in towns starting with E through W for evaluation of available assets to pay creditors.	2.50	385.00	962.50
10/10/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Investigated FY2021 parish income statement data for parishes in towns starting with A through W for evaluation of available assets to pay creditors.	2.00	385.00	770.00
10/10/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish income statement data for FY2018 though FY2021 relating to the parish numbers, names, and locations.	1.80	385.00	693.00
10/10/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish income statement data for FY2018 to ensure accuracy in extracted amounts.	1.80	385.00	693.00
10/11/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish income statements produced for parishes Our Lady of Fatima - Our Poland from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	2.80	735.00	2,058.00
10/11/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish income statements produced for parishes Our Lady of Assumption to Parish of the Holy Cross from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	2.50	735.00	1,837.50





To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 15 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
10/11/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish income statements produced for parishes Queen of the Most Holy to SS Philip and James from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	1.90	735.00	1,396.50
10/11/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish income statement data for FY2019 to ensure accuracy in extracted amounts.	1.90	385.00	731.50
10/11/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish income statement data for FY2020 to ensure accuracy in extracted amounts.	1.80	385.00	693.00
10/11/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish income statement data for FY2021 to ensure accuracy in extracted amounts.	1.80	385.00	693.00
10/11/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish income statements to prepare summary of key revenue and expense categories.	1.00	385.00	385.00
10/12/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish income statements produced for parishes St. Agnes to St. Elizabeth Ann from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	2.60	735.00	1,911.00
10/12/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish income statements produced for parishes St. Frances Cabrini to St. Hyacinth Church from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	2.30	735.00	1,690.50
10/13/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish income statements produced for parishes St. Ignatius Martyr to St. John from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	2.90	735.00	2,131.50
10/13/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish income statements produced for parishes St. Joseph to St. Martha's from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	1.10	735.00	808.50
10/13/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish income statements to prepare summary of key revenue and expense categories.	0.40	385.00	154.00
10/14/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish income statements produced for parishes St. Martin Tours to St. Philip Neri from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	2.80	735.00	2,058.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 16 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
10/14/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish income statements produced for parishes St. Pius X to St. Sylvester from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	1.30	735.00	955.50
10/14/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish income statements for evaluation of assets available to creditors.	0.40	385.00	154.00
10/17/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish income statements produced for parishes St. Therese of Lisieux to The Church of the Cure from 2019 through 2021 for asset analysis.	2.90	735.00	2,131.50
10/17/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Verified summary schedule of parish financial statement analysis regarding total assets, liabilities, and net assets for FY2018 through FY2021.	1.50	385.00	577.50
10/17/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Developed summary schedule of parish income statement analysis for FY2018 through FY2021.	2.00	385.00	770.00
10/19/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed Parish financial statement summaries produced by Debtor from FY2018 through FY2021.	1.20	385.00	462.00
10/20/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed Parish financial statement summaries produced by Debtor from FY2018 through FY2021.	1.50	385.00	577.50
10/20/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish financial statements for evaluation of assets available to creditors.	0.60	385.00	231.00
10/21/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Developed template to extract parish subsidies from their income statements.	2.00	385.00	770.00
10/24/22	Ray Strong	Attended status call with PSZJ (BM, KD) and BRG (CT) regarding ongoing parish assignments.	0.50	735.00	367.50
10/24/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined summary schedules contained in parish financial statements in preparation for meeting with UCC Counsel.	0.20	385.00	77.00
10/24/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (RS) and PSZJ (BM, KD) to discuss parish financial statement analysis.	0.50	385.00	192.50



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 17 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
10/24/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Developed template to extract parish subsidies from their income statements.	1.50	385.00	577.50
10/24/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed FY2018 parish subsidies contained in income statements for evaluation of assets available to pay creditors.	2.00	385.00	770.00
10/24/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed FY2019 parish subsidies contained in income statements for evaluation of assets available to pay creditors.	1.60	385.00	616.00
10/24/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed FY2020 parish subsidies contained in income statements for evaluation of assets available to pay creditors.	1.50	385.00	577.50
10/25/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed FY2021 parish subsidies contained in income statements for evaluation of assets available to pay creditors.	2.00	385.00	770.00
10/25/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Developed summary schedule of parish subsidies analysis for FY2018 through FY2021.	2.20	385.00	847.00
10/25/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Investigated Parish summary schedules contained in financial statements produced by Debtor for evaluation of assets available to pay creditors.	0.70	385.00	269.50
10/26/22	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed parish liabilities for FY2018 from financial statements produced by Debtor.	2.60	330.00	858.00
10/26/22	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed parish liabilities for FY2019 from financial statements produced by Debtor.	1.90	330.00	627.00
10/26/22	Shelby Chaffos	Met with BRG (RS, CT, PS) regarding trend analysis of non-debtor affiliates.	0.80	330.00	264.00
10/26/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (RS, CT, SC) regarding structure of non debtor affiliate financial trend analysis.	0.80	765.00	612.00
10/26/22	Paul Shields	Outlined issues for consideration in connection with a calculation of substantial contribution for Parishes.	0.10	765.00	76.50



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 18 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
10/26/22	Ray Strong	Discussed Parish analyis to evaluate available assets to pay creditors sis with BRG team (CT, PS, SC).	0.80	735.00	588.00
10/26/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Developed template to extract parish liabilities from their balance sheets.	2.40	385.00	924.00
10/26/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (RS, PS, SC) to discuss parish financial analysis for evaluation of available assets to pay creditors.	0.80	385.00	308.00
10/26/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined FY2021 parish liabilities from their balance sheet for ability-to-pay analysis.	1.80	385.00	693.00
10/26/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined FY2020 parish liabilities from their balance sheet for ability-to-pay analysis.	1.40	385.00	539.00
10/27/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed FY2020 parish liabilities from their balance sheet for ability-to-pay analysis.	1.10	385.00	423.50
10/27/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Performed quality control review of parish income statement analysis for periods FY2018 through FY2021.	0.90	385.00	346.50
10/27/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Performed quality control review of parish balance sheet statement analysis for periods FY2018 through FY2021.	1.00	385.00	385.00
10/27/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Performed quality control review of parish cemeteries balance sheet statement analysis for periods FY2018 through FY2021.	0.80	385.00	308.00
10/27/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed proposed property lease agreements with St. Francis Assisi parish.	0.40	385.00	154.00
10/28/22	Ray Strong	Evaluated financial statements of parishes for asset/ability to pay analysis.	2.30	735.00	1,690.50
10/31/22	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed parish financial statement data For FY2018-FY2021 for the trend analysis.	1.60	330.00	528.00
10/31/22	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed parish financial data for FY2018 - FY2021 for the ability to pay analysis.	1.80	330.00	594.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 19 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
10/31/22	Shelby Chaffos	Met with BRG (PS, RS, CT) to address next steps regarding the identification of Parish assets available to pay creditors.	1.30	330.00	429.00
10/31/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (RS, CT, SC) to evaluate next steps in developing analysis of available assets for parishes and other non debtor affiliates.	1.30	765.00	994.50
10/31/22	Ray Strong	Discussed Parish substantial contribution analysis with BRG team (PS, CT, SC).	1.30	735.00	955.50
10/31/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (RS, PS, SC) to discuss available Parish assets to pay creditors.	1.30	385.00	500.50
10/31/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish financial data to create an ability to pay analysis for FY2018-FY2021.	1.70	385.00	654.50
10/31/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Continued to analyze parish financial data to create an ability to pay analysis for FY2018-FY2021.	1.80	385.00	693.00
10/31/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish income statement data to create a trend analysis for FY2018-FY2021.	1.40	385.00	539.00
10/31/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyze parish financial statement data for FY2018-FY2021 in preparation for creating an ability to pay analysis.	1.20	385.00	462.00
11/01/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish financial statements for 2020 produced for analysis of assets available to creditors.	1.20	735.00	882.00
11/02/22	Shelby Chaffos	Met with BRG (PS, CT, RS) regarding updates for Parish revenue and expense for financial modeling.	0.90	330.00	297.00
11/02/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (RS, CT, SC) to evaluate assumptions and financial modeling for parishes and other non debtor affiliates.	0.90	765.00	688.50
11/02/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish financial statements for 2021 produced for analysis of assets available to creditors.	2.10	735.00	1,543.50



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 20 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
11/02/22	Ray Strong	Attended meeting with BRG team (PS, CT, SC) regarding assets available to creditors.	0.90	735.00	661.50
11/02/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (RS, PS, SC) to discuss Parish trend analysis for evaluation of assets available to creditors.	0.90	385.00	346.50
11/02/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated ability-to-pay analysis for the parishes based on additional analysis of financial statement produced.	1.50	385.00	577.50
11/02/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated trend analysis for the parishes based on additional analysis of financial statement produced.	1.40	385.00	539.00
11/04/22	Shelby Chaffos	Verified parish financial statement data in ability to pay analysis.	1.30	330.00	429.00
11/07/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish financial statements for 2019 produced for analysis of assets available to creditors.	1.30	735.00	955.50
11/07/22	Ray Strong	Evaluated Parish services agreements located in Debtor productions for Parish asset analysis.	2.10	735.00	1,543.50
11/08/22	Matthew Babcock	Met with BRG (PS, CT, SC) in order to update Parish analyzing relating to available assets to pay creditors.	0.90	675.00	607.50
11/08/22	Shelby Chaffos	Met with BRG (MB, PS, CT) to regarding Parish modeling for assets available to pay creditors.	0.90	330.00	297.00
11/08/22	Paul Shields	Participated on call with BRG (MB, CT, SC) regarding Parish substantial contribution modeling.	0.90	765.00	688.50
11/08/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish liabilities for evaluation of assets available to pay creditors with BRG (CT).	0.20	735.00	147.00
11/08/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (RS) to discuss Parish liabilities for evaluation of assets available to pay creditors.	0.20	385.00	77.00
11/08/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined parish liabilities to update to ability-to-pay analysis.	1.30	385.00	500.50
11/08/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed Parish financial statements for trend analysis to evaluate available assets to pay creditors.	1.60	385.00	616.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 21 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
11/08/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (MB, PS, SC) to discuss Parish modeling of assets available to pay creditors.	0.90	385.00	346.50
11/08/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated Parish analysis with additional information produced for evaluation of assets available to creditors.	1.20	385.00	462.00
11/09/22	Matthew Babcock	Participated in partial meeting with Committee Counsel (JS, KB, KD, BM, GG [partial call]) and BRG (RS [partial], PS, CT) to discuss ongoing Parish asset assessment.	0.80	675.00	540.00
11/09/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with UCC Counsel (JS, KB, BM, GG [partial], KD) and BRG (RS [partial], MB [partial], CT) regarding Parish asset assessment.	1.20	765.00	918.00
11/09/22	Ray Strong	Attended call with UCC Counsel (JS, KB, BM, GG [partial], KD) and BRG (PS, MB [partial], CT) regarding Parish asset assessment.	1.10	735.00	808.50
11/09/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed parish financial statements for 2018 produced for analysis of assets available to creditors.	1.90	735.00	1,396.50
11/09/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (PS, RS[Partial], MB[partial]) and UCC Counsel (JS, KB, BM, GG [partial], KD) to discuss Parish financial analysis.	1.20	385.00	462.00
11/09/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated parish ability to pay analysis to include operating income.	1.40	385.00	539.00
11/10/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (CT) regarding next steps for Parish financial modeling.	0.40	765.00	306.00
11/10/22	Paul Shields	Conducted further evaluation of additional factors to consider in Parish ability-to-pay analysis.	0.80	765.00	612.00
11/10/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish financial statements for 2019 produced for analysis of assets available to creditors.	2.10	735.00	1,543.50
11/10/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Debtor audit work papers produced by auditors for Parish asset analysis.	2.80	735.00	2,058.00





To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 22 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
11/10/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (PS) to discuss next steps for parish trend analysis.	0.40	385.00	154.00
11/10/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed Parish net income balances from FY2018 through FY2021.	0.60	385.00	231.00
11/10/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Investigated parish websites to determine active parishes to date.	1.50	385.00	577.50
11/10/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed Parish investment balances in preparation for trend analysis.	1.30	385.00	500.50
11/10/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed key metrics from the Parish financial data for ability-to-pay analysis.	2.40	385.00	924.00
11/11/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (CT) regarding financial analysis of parishes.	0.50	765.00	382.50
11/11/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Debtor audit work papers for analysis of assets available to creditors from parishes.	1.60	735.00	1,176.00
11/11/22	Ray Strong	Attended call with UCC Counsel (BM, KD) and BRG (CT) regarding Parish financial modeling.	0.40	735.00	294.00
11/11/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Debtor audit work papers produced by auditors for Parish asset analysis.	1.30	735.00	955.50
11/11/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (RS) and UCC Counsel (BM,KD) to discuss additional Parish data to incorporate into analysis.	0.40	385.00	154.00
11/11/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined additional parish data to update parish ability-to-pay analysis.	2.30	385.00	885.50
11/11/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed list of non Rockville related parishes.	1.30	385.00	500.50
11/11/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (PS) to discuss trend analysis and updates.	0.50	385.00	192.50
11/11/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish interest expenses to update trend analysis.	1.00	385.00	385.00
11/11/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed counter offer of parishes seeking release.	1.20	385.00	462.00
11/12/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish interest expenses to update trend analysis.	1.10	385.00	423.50
11/12/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Continued to analyze counter offer of parishes seeking release.	0.50	385.00	192.50





To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 23 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
11/14/22	Victoria Ingle	Analyzed FY 2018 parish interest expense data for ability-to-pay analysis.	1.60	135.00	216.00
11/14/22	Victoria Ingle	Analyzed FY 2019 parish interest expense data for ability-to-pay analysis.	0.50	135.00	67.50
11/14/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed counter offer of parishes seeking release.	0.70	385.00	269.50
11/15/22	Victoria Ingle	Analyzed FY 2019 parish interest expense data for ability-to-pay analysis.	1.00	135.00	135.00
11/15/22	Victoria Ingle	Analyzed FY 2020 parish interest expense data for ability-to-pay analysis.	1.10	135.00	148.50
11/15/22	Victoria Ingle	Analyzed FY 2021 parish interest expense data for ability-to-pay analysis.	1.20	135.00	162.00
11/16/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated parish trend analysis to reflect interest expenses for ability-to-pay analysis.	0.80	385.00	308.00
11/17/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (CT) to evaluate parish financial performance.	0.80	765.00	612.00
11/17/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (PS) to discuss parish financial modeling regarding asses available for creditors.	0.80	385.00	308.00
11/17/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Categorized parish trend analysis to update ability-to-pay analysis.	0.60	385.00	231.00
11/17/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated parish ability-to-pay analysis in preparation for meeting with UCC Counsel.	1.40	385.00	539.00
11/18/22	Ray Strong	Attended call with UCC Counsel (KD, BM) and BRG (CT) regarding Parish claims analysis.	0.40	735.00	294.00
11/18/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Meeting with PSZJ (KD and BM) and BRG (RS) to discuss parish claims analysis.	0.40	385.00	154.00
11/18/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish insurance coverage data for parish analysis.	1.70	385.00	654.50
11/18/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined claims data for parish analysis.	0.90	385.00	346.50



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 24 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
11/18/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish ability-to-pay analysis further in preparation for meeting with Counsel.	0.90	385.00	346.50
11/29/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed parish financial documentation for updates to analysis of available assets to pay creditors.	0.30	735.00	220.50
12/01/22	Paul Shields	Evaluated Parish ability-to-pay model to provide updates.	1.30	765.00	994.50
12/01/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish 2021 balance sheets for evaluation of assets available to creditors.	1.50	735.00	1,102.50
12/01/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated Parish ability-to-pay model with updates from team.	0.50	385.00	192.50
12/01/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed categorizations in the parish trend analysis for updates to ability-to-pay model.	1.20	385.00	462.00
12/02/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish 2020 balance sheets for evaluation of assets available to creditors.	2.80	735.00	2,058.00
12/02/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Combined claims data with the parish ability to pay analysis.	1.70	385.00	654.50
12/02/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated ability-to-pay analysis for parishes with additional information obtain.	1.30	385.00	500.50
12/05/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish ability-to-pay model for additional updates from team.	1.80	385.00	693.00
12/06/22	Paul Shields	Updated parish ability-to-pay modeling pursuant to additional information.	0.80	765.00	612.00
12/06/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated parish ability-to-pay modeling with claims data.	2.60	385.00	1,001.00
12/06/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed claims data for parish asset analysis.	1.10	385.00	423.50
12/07/22	David Judd	Analyzed Parish ability-to-pay model assumptions for substantial contribution analysis.	1.50	795.00	1,192.50
12/07/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (RS, CT) to further evaluate parish assets available to pay creditors.	1.50	765.00	1,147.50



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 25 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
12/07/22	Ray Strong	Attended meeting with BRG team (PS, CT) regarding parish assets available to creditors.	1.50	735.00	1,102.50
12/07/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish 2020 income statements for evaluation of operating income/losses.	1.70	735.00	1,249.50
12/07/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish 2021 income statements for evaluation of operating income/losses.	2.20	735.00	1,617.00
12/07/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (PS, RS) to discuss parish assets available to pay creditors.	1.50	385.00	577.50
12/07/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed Hicksville Parish financial statements for ability-to-pay analysis.	0.30	385.00	115.50
12/07/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated ability-to-pay analysis with additional updates from team.	2.70	385.00	1,039.50
12/08/22	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed parish financial statement in comparison to online PPP loan database to evaluate accounting treatment.	1.20	330.00	396.00
12/08/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed Parish school financial statement for evaluation of assets available to pay creditors.	0.90	385.00	346.50
12/08/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Developed template to extract net asset data from parish financials.	1.30	385.00	500.50
12/09/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish 2021 income statements for evaluation of operating income/losses.	1.90	735.00	1,396.50
12/09/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish 2019 income statements for evaluation of operating income/losses.	2.30	735.00	1,690.50
12/12/22	Shelby Chaffos	Met with BRG (PS) relating to parish account treatment of PPP loans for asset analysis.	0.80	330.00	264.00
12/12/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (SC) to evaluate parish accounting treatment of PPP loans.	0.80	765.00	612.00
12/13/22	Shelby Chaffos	Spoke with BRG (CT, PS) to discuss parish trend analysis for evaluation of assets available to pay creditors.	1.00	330.00	330.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 26 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
12/13/22	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed parish revenue for FY 2018 - 2021 by categories to understand revenue trends.	1.50	330.00	495.00
12/13/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (CT, SC) to address updates to Parish modeling/trend analysis for assessing assets available to pay creditors.	1.00	765.00	765.00
12/13/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (PS, SC) to discuss updates to Parish modeling/trend analysis for assessment of assets available to pay creditors.	1.00	385.00	385.00
12/15/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Evaluated insurance coverage data for Parish ability-to-pay analysis.	2.30	385.00	885.50
12/15/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish net assets from FY2018 through FY2021 for evaluation of assets available to pay creditors.	1.80	385.00	693.00
12/16/22	Paul Shields	Evaluated alleged restrictions on parish assets.	0.70	765.00	535.50
12/16/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish net assets data from FY2018 through FY2021 for ability-to-pay model.	1.60	385.00	616.00
12/16/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Compared parish net assets calculated with reported amounts for FY2018 through FY2021.	1.10	385.00	423.50
12/16/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish net assets from their FY2018 financial statements.	1.60	385.00	616.00
12/16/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish net assets from their FY2019 financial statements.	1.20	385.00	462.00
12/16/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish net assets from their FY2020 financial statements.	0.90	385.00	346.50
12/16/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish net assets from their FY2021 financial statements.	0.50	385.00	192.50
12/19/22	Paul Shields	Developed assignments for team in connection with parish analysis.	0.10	765.00	76.50
12/19/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated parish net assets data from FY2018 through FY2021 for ability-to-pay analysis.	1.60	385.00	616.00
12/19/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated Parish modeling with additional data to evaluate available assets to pay creditors.	1.70	385.00	654.50



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 27 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
12/20/22	David Judd	Analyzed Parish financial data for ability-to-pay analysis.	1.00	795.00	795.00
12/20/22	Paul Shields	Evaluated parish ability-to-pay analysis for additional updates.	1.40	765.00	1,071.00
12/20/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed parish financial data received from Debtor regarding assets available to creditors.	0.50	735.00	367.50
12/20/22	Ray Strong	Verified 2021 Parish Statement of Financial Position data for evaluation of assets available for plan contribution.	2.10	735.00	1,543.50
12/20/22	Ray Strong	Verified 2020 Parish Statement of Financial Position data for evaluation of assets available for plan contribution.	1.20	735.00	882.00
12/20/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed additional Parish data from produced financial statements for evaluation of asset available to pay creditors.	1.00	385.00	385.00
12/21/22	Ray Strong	Verified 2020 Parish Statement of Financial Position data for evaluation of assets available for plan contribution.	1.30	735.00	955.50
12/21/22	Ray Strong	Verified 2021 Parish Statement of Financial Position data for evaluation of assets available for plan contribution.	2.10	735.00	1,543.50
01/03/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed asserted restricted parish cash balances for availability to pay Creditors.	0.40	780.00	312.00
01/04/23	Paul Shields	Evaluated additional document requests for parish ability-to-pay analysis.	0.10	815.00	81.50
01/04/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Prepared parish ability to pay analysis to send to UCC Counsel.	0.90	450.00	405.00
01/06/23	Shelby Chaffos	Investigated financial benchmarking resources for Parish ability-to-pay analysis.	1.80	385.00	693.00
01/06/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (CT, SC) to evaluate benchmarking analysis for parish ability-to-pay analysis.	0.90	815.00	733.50



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 28 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/06/23	Paul Shields	Investigated non-profit benchmarking data sources for parish ability to pay analysis.	0.40	815.00	326.00
01/06/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (PS, SC) to discuss financial benchmarking analysis for parish ability-to-pay analysis.	0.90	450.00	405.00
01/06/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Investigated operating reserves for nonprofits for parish ability-to-pay analyses.	1.80	450.00	810.00
01/09/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed proposed property lease agreements with St. Hyacinth parish.	0.40	450.00	180.00
01/20/23	Paul Shields	Analyzed parish ability to pay analysis to determine further assignments.	0.10	815.00	81.50
01/20/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined parish ability to pay model relating to capital expenditures.	0.20	450.00	90.00
01/23/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed proposed property lease agreements with Sacred Hearts of Jesus and Mary parish.	0.60	450.00	270.00
01/25/23	Ray Strong	Met with BRG (CT) regarding updates to parish ability-to-pay analysis for cash/investments.	1.30	780.00	1,014.00
01/25/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (RS) to update parish ability to pay analysis regarding cash and investments.	1.30	450.00	585.00
01/30/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated parish ability to pay analysis for available cash/investments.	0.40	450.00	180.00
01/31/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated parish ability to pay analysis for available cash/investments.	1.30	450.00	585.00
<b>Total for Task Code 395.00</b>			<b>295.20</b>		<b>154,076.00</b>
<b>Task Code: 396.00 - Asset Analysis (Other - Cemeteries)</b>					
10/04/22	Eric Madsen	Reviewed analysis of CemCo's potential debt load/capacity for funds distribution in preparation for call with BRG (PS).	1.00	750.00	750.00
10/04/22	Eric Madsen	Participated in a call with BRG (PS) regarding CemCo financial assessment in preparation for call with Counsel.	0.70	750.00	525.00
10/04/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (EM) regarding CemCo financial assessment in preparation for call with counsel.	0.70	765.00	535.50



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 29 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
10/05/22	Eric Madsen	Met with BRG (PS) to further evaluate financial modeling relating to CemCo.	1.00	750.00	750.00
10/05/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (EM) to further evaluate financial modeling relating to CemCo operations and the Permanent Maintenance Trust.	1.00	765.00	765.00
10/06/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with UCC Counsel (BM) and BRG (RS) regarding assessment of available assets for creditors.	0.60	765.00	459.00
10/06/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Cemco financial data produced for asset analysis.	0.50	735.00	367.50
10/06/22	Ray Strong	Attended call with PSZJ (BM) and BRG (PS) regarding CemCo analysis.	0.60	735.00	441.00
10/18/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined parish cemeteries income statement detail for FY2021.	1.30	385.00	500.50
10/18/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish cemeteries data from income statement detail for FY2018 through FY2021.	1.00	385.00	385.00
10/18/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined parish cemeteries income statement detail for FY2020.	1.30	385.00	500.50
10/18/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined parish cemeteries income statement detail for FY2019.	1.60	385.00	616.00
10/18/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Developed template in preparation to extract parish cemeteries income statement data.	1.30	385.00	500.50
10/18/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined parish cemeteries income statement detail for FY2018.	1.60	385.00	616.00
10/19/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Developed summary schedule of parish cemeteries income statement analysis for FY2018 through FY2021.	2.30	385.00	885.50
10/19/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed summary schedule of parish cemeteries for FY2018 through FY2021.	1.80	385.00	693.00
10/19/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Continued to analyze parish cemeteries income statement detail for FY2018 through FY2021.	1.90	385.00	731.50
10/28/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Baker Tilley audit workpapers included in second production for cemeteries analysis.	1.10	735.00	808.50





To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 30 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
11/04/22	Shelby Chaffos	Verified cemeteries financial statement data in ability to pay analysis.	0.60	330.00	198.00
11/10/22	Paul Shields	Provided information to UCC Counsel regarding financial reporting of the Catholic Cemeteries.	0.20	765.00	153.00
11/10/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish cemeteries financial statements pursuant to requests of UCC Counsel.	0.30	735.00	220.50
11/10/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined CemCo financial statements documents provided to date.	0.30	385.00	115.50
11/18/22	Paul Shields	Analyzed IAC Report relating to development of CemCo ability-to-pay model.	1.60	765.00	1,224.00
11/18/22	Paul Shields	Evaluated ability-to-pay assessment/modeling for CemCo.	0.70	765.00	535.50
11/21/22	Eric Madsen	Developed modeling for CemCo analysis of available assets to pay creditors.	0.50	750.00	375.00
11/21/22	Eric Madsen	Participated in a call with BRG (PS) to review financial modeling for CemCo substantial contribution.	0.70	750.00	525.00
11/21/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (EM) to review financial modeling for CemCo substantial contribution.	0.70	765.00	535.50
11/21/22	Paul Shields	Updated document request relating to CemCo asset analysis.	0.10	765.00	76.50
11/21/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed audit work papers relating analysis of cemetery assets available to creditors.	1.20	735.00	882.00
11/23/22	Eric Madsen	Participated in a call with BRG (PS, CT) to update financial modeling for CemCo relating to analysis of available assets to pay creditors.	1.10	750.00	825.00
11/23/22	Paul Shields	Conducted preliminary review of CemCo ability to pay analysis.	0.50	765.00	382.50
11/23/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (EM, CT) regarding assumptions and financial modeling in CemCo relating to analysis of available assets to pay creditors.	1.10	765.00	841.50





To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 31 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
11/23/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (CT) regarding assumptions of CemCo financial model relating to assets available to pay creditors.	0.30	765.00	229.50
11/23/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed audit work papers relating analysis of cemetery assets available to creditors.	2.10	735.00	1,543.50
11/23/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed CemCo documentation for development of ability to pay model.	2.50	385.00	962.50
11/23/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Continued to analyze CemCo documentation for development of ability-to-pay model.	2.70	385.00	1,039.50
11/23/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (PS, EM) to discuss CemCo financial modeling for asset analysis.	1.10	385.00	423.50
11/23/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Discussed with BRG (PS) CemCo financial modeling assumptions for analysis of available assets to pay creditors.	0.30	385.00	115.50
11/25/22	Eric Madsen	Updated modeling for CemCo analysis of available assets to pay creditors with additional information obtained from document productions.	1.50	750.00	1,125.00
11/28/22	Eric Madsen	Participated in a call with BRG team (PS, CT) to review updates to CemCo financial modeling for analysis of assets available to creditors.	1.80	750.00	1,350.00
11/28/22	Eric Madsen	Performed quality control review of CemCo model to assess ability-to-pay.	2.90	750.00	2,175.00
11/28/22	Paul Shields	Evaluated model assumptions relating to maintenance care costs in connection with CemCo ability to pay analysis.	1.40	765.00	1,071.00
11/28/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (EM, CT) to evaluate methodology of CemCo financial modeling for assets available to pay creditors.	1.80	765.00	1,377.00
11/28/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish cemeteries statement of activities for 2018 and 2019 produced by Debtor for analysis of available assets to creditors.	1.40	735.00	1,029.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 32 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
11/28/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (PS, EM) to discuss CemCo financial modeling for assets available to pay creditors.	1.80	385.00	693.00
11/28/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed CemCo Board meeting minutes for ability to pay analysis.	1.30	385.00	500.50
11/29/22	Eric Madsen	Updated modeling for CemCo analysis of available assets to pay creditors with additional information obtained from document productions.	0.70	750.00	525.00
11/29/22	Eric Madsen	Participated in a call with BRG team (PS, CT) to review updates to CemCo financial modeling regarding assets available to pay creditors.	0.60	750.00	450.00
11/29/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (EM, CT) regarding details of CemCo financial modeling for assets available to pay creditors.	0.60	765.00	459.00
11/29/22	Paul Shields	Evaluated model assumptions relating to maintenance care costs in connection with CemCo ability to pay analysis.	1.30	765.00	994.50
11/29/22	Paul Shields	Evaluated model assumptions relating to grave site revenue in connections with CemCo ability to pay analysis.	0.20	765.00	153.00
11/29/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed Parish cemeteries statement of activities for 2020 and 2021 produced by Debtor for analysis of available assets to creditors.	1.30	735.00	955.50
11/29/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Evaluated CemCo modeling in preparation for meeting regarding ability-to-pay analysis.	0.50	385.00	192.50
11/29/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (PS, EM) to discuss updates to CemCo financial model.	0.60	385.00	231.00
11/30/22	Paul Shields	Evaluated model assumptions relating to grave site revenue in connections with CemCo ability to pay analysis.	1.20	765.00	918.00
11/30/22	Paul Shields	Evaluated assumptions to CemCo model relating to estimated maintenance costs/contributions to the permanent maintenance funds from future sales.	2.80	765.00	2,142.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 33 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
11/30/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed IAC documents relating analysis of cemetery assets available to creditors.	1.30	735.00	955.50
11/30/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed Anchin report for CemCo ability-to-pay modeling.	1.60	385.00	616.00
12/01/22	Paul Shields	Developed methodology/assumptions for CemCo ability to pay analysis.	0.70	765.00	535.50
01/03/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed financial modeling for cash and investment availability to CemCo Plan contribution.	1.30	780.00	1,014.00
01/04/23	Eric Madsen	Attended meeting with BRG (PS) regarding evaluation of industry research for CemCo ability-to-pay analysis.	0.50	775.00	387.50
01/04/23	Eric Madsen	Investigated long term trends in participation in religious organizations related to CemCo ability to pay analysis.	2.50	775.00	1,937.50
01/04/23	Aaron R. Olsen	Investigated industry data for cemeteries to develop assumptions in CemCo ability to pay analysis.	0.50	285.00	142.50
01/04/23	Paul Shields	Discussed evaluation of industry research for CemCo regarding ability-to-pay analysis with BRG (EM).	0.50	815.00	407.50
01/04/23	Paul Shields	Evaluated industry data to develop assumptions in CemCo ability to pay analysis.	0.30	815.00	244.50
01/05/23	Eric Madsen	Analyzed studies of long-term trends related to CemCo ability to pay analysis.	0.90	775.00	697.50
01/05/23	Aaron R. Olsen	Continued investigating industry data for cemeteries to develop assumptions in CemCo ability to pay analysis.	2.00	285.00	570.00
01/05/23	Paul Shields	Analyzed CemCo modeling to provide updates based on additional information.	1.10	815.00	896.50
01/06/23	Paul Shields	Reviewed CemCo modeling in preparation for call with UCC Counsel.	0.10	815.00	81.50
01/10/23	Paul Shields	Outlined issues for consideration in connection with sharing information relating to CemCo analysis.	0.30	815.00	244.50



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 34 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/11/23	Eric Madsen	Participated in a call with BRG (PS) regarding economic/demographic data related to CemCo ability-to-pay analysis.	0.70	775.00	542.50
01/11/23	Eric Madsen	Evaluated economic/demographic trends related to CemCo ability to pay analysis.	0.30	775.00	232.50
01/11/23	Eric Madsen	Investigated economic and demographic trends for Cemco ability to pay analysis.	2.80	775.00	2,170.00
01/11/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (EM) regarding CemCo economic/demographic data for CemCo ability-to-pay modeling..	0.70	815.00	570.50
01/12/23	Matthew Babcock	Attended call with UCC Counsel (JS, KB, KD, GG) and BRG (RS, PS, EM) to discuss cemetery analysis.	0.60	725.00	435.00
01/12/23	Eric Madsen	Participated in call with BRG (PS, CT) to discuss assumptions underlying CemCo ability-to-pay analysis.	0.90	775.00	697.50
01/12/23	Eric Madsen	Updated CemCo ability-to-pay analysis with economic/demographic trend data.	1.70	775.00	1,317.50
01/12/23	Eric Madsen	Participated in call with BRG (PS, RS, MB) and UCC Counsel (JS, GG, KB, KD) regarding ability-to-pay analysis.	0.60	775.00	465.00
01/12/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with UCC Counsel (JS, KB, KD, GG) and BRG (RS, MB, EM) regarding CemCo ability-to-pay analysis.	0.60	815.00	489.00
01/12/23	Paul Shields	Evaluated assumptions for CemCo ability-to-pay analysis.	1.10	815.00	896.50
01/12/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (EM, CT) to evaluate key assumptions in the CemCo ability to pay analysis.	0.90	815.00	733.50
01/12/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with UCC Counsel (JS, GG, KB, KD) and BRG (EM, PS, MB) regarding CemCo analysis.	0.60	780.00	468.00
01/12/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (PS, EM) to discuss assumptions of CemCo analysis.	0.90	450.00	405.00
01/12/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed documentation produced by CemCo to prepare additional document requests.	0.20	450.00	90.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 35 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/12/23	Nicholas Zeien	Investigated economic/demographic public data for CemCo ability-to-pay analysis.	0.30	375.00	112.50
01/13/23	Paul Shields	Analyzed CemCo document requests to identify most follow up items.	0.50	815.00	407.50
01/13/23	Paul Shields	Evaluated developed/undeveloped inventory for CemCo analysis.	0.50	815.00	407.50
01/13/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed outstanding CemCo documents for follow up with counsel.	0.20	780.00	156.00
01/13/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with BRG (CT) to evaluate outstanding CemCo document requests.	0.40	780.00	312.00
01/13/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed documents tracker relating to CemCo documents.	1.40	450.00	630.00
01/13/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated document tracker for additional CemCo documents to request.	1.90	450.00	855.00
01/13/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (RS) regarding CemCo status of document requests.	0.40	450.00	180.00
01/13/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Evaluated outstanding CemCo documents for follow up.	1.00	450.00	450.00
01/18/23	Eric Madsen	Updated CemCo ability-to-pay modeling with additional information from produced documents.	1.50	775.00	1,162.50
01/18/23	Ray Strong	Met with BRG (CT) to analyze CemCo document requests.	1.80	780.00	1,404.00
01/18/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Compared master documents tracker relating to CemCo documents with UCC Committee tracker.	1.80	450.00	810.00
01/18/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated master documents tracker relating to CemCo document requests.	1.00	450.00	450.00
01/18/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (RS) to discuss CemCo related outstanding document requests.	1.80	450.00	810.00
01/19/23	Eric Madsen	Continued developing additional data visualizations for UCC Counsel regarding CemCo ability-to-pay modeling.	2.90	775.00	2,247.50



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 36 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/19/23	Eric Madsen	Developed additional data visualizations for UCC Counsel regarding CemCo ability-to-pay modeling.	2.90	775.00	2,247.50
01/19/23	Eric Madsen	Updated CemCo ability to pay modeling with additional data.	2.10	775.00	1,627.50
01/19/23	Eric Madsen	Participated in call with BRG (CT) to review updates to CemCo ability-to-pay analysis.	1.40	775.00	1,085.00
01/19/23	Paul Shields	Made further updates to CemCo document requests.	0.50	815.00	407.50
01/19/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (RS-Partial, CT) regarding further updates to CemCo document request.	1.30	815.00	1,059.50
01/19/23	Paul Shields	Evaluated graphs developed from CemCo ability to pay model in preparation for meeting with UCC Counsel.	1.10	815.00	896.50
01/19/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with BRG team (CT, PS) regarding Cemco outstanding document requests..	1.00	780.00	780.00
01/19/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (EM) to discuss updated to CemCo model.	1.40	450.00	630.00
01/19/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed documents produced regarding CemCo crypts/niches for ability-to-pay analysis.	1.90	450.00	855.00
01/19/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (RS-Partial, PS) to discuss CemCo related outstanding document requests.	1.30	450.00	585.00
01/19/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated CemCo model with additional crypts/niches data.	0.80	450.00	360.00
01/20/23	Matthew Babcock	Met with UCC Counsel (KB, GG) and BRG (RS, PS, EM, CT) to discuss CemCo ability-to-pay analysis.	1.00	725.00	725.00
01/20/23	Eric Madsen	Participated in meeting with BRG (PS, CT, RS, MB) and UCC Counsel (GG, KB) to review CemCo ability-to-pay analysis.	1.00	775.00	775.00
01/20/23	Eric Madsen	Prepared for meeting with UCC Counsel regarding Cemco ability to pay analysis.	1.70	775.00	1,317.50



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 37 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/20/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with UCC Counsel (KB, GG) and BRG (RS, MB, EM, CT) to evaluate CemCo ability to pay analysis.	1.00	815.00	815.00
01/20/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with BRG team (PS, EM, CT, MB) and UCC Counsel (GG, KB) to review CemCo analysis.	1.00	780.00	780.00
01/20/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined CemCo ability-to-pay modeling in preparation for meeting with UCC Counsel.	1.00	450.00	450.00
01/20/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (RS, MB, PS, EM) and UCC Counsel (GG, KB) to discuss CemCo ability-to-pay analysis.	1.00	450.00	450.00
01/20/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined CemCo Board minutes to locate information on burial sales.	0.80	450.00	360.00
01/23/23	Eric Madsen	Participated in call with BRG (PS, CT, RS) to discuss CemCo ability-to-pay model.	2.00	775.00	1,550.00
01/23/23	Paul Shields	Outlined discussions to schedule meeting with CemCo to further settlement discussions.	0.60	815.00	489.00
01/23/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (RS, EM, CT) regarding evaluation of CemCo assets available to creditors from CemCo.	2.00	815.00	1,630.00
01/23/23	Paul Shields	Updated additional document requests for CemCo ability-to-pay analysis.	0.60	815.00	489.00
01/23/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with BRG team (CT, EM, PS) regarding the evaluation of assets available the creditors from CemCo.	2.00	780.00	1,560.00
01/23/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated CemCo document request with documents previously produced.	0.80	450.00	360.00
01/23/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (RS, PS, EM) to discuss CemCo assets available to creditors from CemCo.	2.00	450.00	900.00
01/23/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated schedule of CemCo requested documents to send out to UCC Counsel.	1.40	450.00	630.00
01/25/23	Eric Madsen	Attended call with BRG (PS, CT, RS) and UCC Counsel (KB, GG, JS) regarding CemCo ability to pay analysis.	0.20	775.00	155.00





To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 38 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/25/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with UCC Counsel (JS, KB, GG) and BRG (RS, EM, CT) to discuss CemCo ability to pay analysis for possible settlement discussions.	0.20	815.00	163.00
01/25/23	Paul Shields	Evaluated potential updates to draft CemCo document request.	0.40	815.00	326.00
01/25/23	Paul Shields	Met with BRG (CT) to make further updates to the CemCo document request.	1.30	815.00	1,059.50
01/25/23	Paul Shields	Evaluated follow-up items in connection with sharing CemCo ability to pay analysis. for settlement negotiations.	0.40	815.00	326.00
01/25/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed CemCo documentation for modeling of available assets to Creditors.	0.60	780.00	468.00
01/25/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (PS, EM, RS) and UCC Counsel (KB, GG, JS) to discuss CemCo model of assets available to Creditors from CemCo.	0.20	450.00	90.00
01/25/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated CemCo information requests file in preparation to send to UCC Counsel.	0.80	450.00	360.00
01/25/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (PS) to discuss additional CemCo information requests.	1.30	450.00	585.00
01/26/23	Paul Shields	Identified additional assignments for CemCo ability to pay analysis.	0.10	815.00	81.50
01/31/23	Eric Madsen	Updated ability to pay analysis in preparation for presentation to CemCo.	0.50	775.00	387.50
<b>Total for Task Code 396.00</b>			<b>148.80</b>		<b>94,827.50</b>
<b>Task Code: 397.00 - Asset Analysis (Other - Schools)</b>					
10/03/22	Ray Strong	Attended call with PSZJ (KD, BM) regarding Parish School financial statement analysis.	0.50	735.00	367.50
10/04/22	John Freeman	Assessed accuracy of developed data parser for analysis of Parish school data files.	1.70	320.00	544.00
10/04/22	John Freeman	Developed data parser for analysis of Parish school data files.	2.90	320.00	928.00





To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 39 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
10/04/22	John Freeman	Developed data structure issues for develop of of data parser for analysis of Parish school data files.	1.60	320.00	512.00
10/12/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined parish schools financial data export of income statements to verify accuracy.	2.70	385.00	1,039.50
10/12/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish schools financial statement data ito evaluate available assets to pay creditors.	1.30	385.00	500.50
10/12/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined FY2018 parish schools income statement data for ability-to-pay analysis.	1.70	385.00	654.50
10/12/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined FY2019 parish schools income statement data for ability-to-pay analysis.	1.60	385.00	616.00
10/13/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined FY2021 parish schools income statement data for ability-to-pay analysis.	1.10	385.00	423.50
10/13/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed FY2018 parish schools income statement data for ability-to-pay analysis.	2.70	385.00	1,039.50
10/13/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined FY2020 parish schools income statement data for ability-to-pay analysis.	1.30	385.00	500.50
10/14/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed FY2019 parish schools income statement data for ability-to-pay analysis.	1.90	385.00	731.50
10/14/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed FY2020 parish schools income statement data for ability-to-pay analysis.	1.50	385.00	577.50
10/14/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed FY2021 parish schools income statement data for ability-to-pay analysis.	2.00	385.00	770.00
10/17/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Developed summary schedule of parish schools income statement data from FY2018 through FY2021.	1.70	385.00	654.50
10/20/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Developed template to extract parish schools subsidies from their income statements.	2.00	385.00	770.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 40 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
10/20/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed FY2018 parish schools subsidies from income statements for ability-to-pay analysis.	1.90	385.00	731.50
10/21/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed FY2019 parish schools subsidies from income statements for ability-to-pay analysis.	1.60	385.00	616.00
10/21/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed FY2021 parish schools subsidies from income statements for ability-to-pay analysis.	1.30	385.00	500.50
10/21/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Developed summary schedule of parish schools subsidies from FY2018 through FY2021.	1.50	385.00	577.50
10/21/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed FY2020 parish schools subsidies from income statements for ability-to-pay analysis.	1.60	385.00	616.00
10/26/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Developed template to extract parish schools liabilities from their balance sheets.	1.00	385.00	385.00
10/27/22	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed parish school liability data from income statements for FY2018.	0.80	330.00	264.00
10/27/22	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed parish school liability data from income statements for FY2019.	0.60	330.00	198.00
10/27/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish school liability data from income statements for FY2020 to FY2021.	0.80	385.00	308.00
10/27/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Performed quality control review of parish schools balance sheet statement analysis for periods FY2018 through FY2021.	1.00	385.00	385.00
11/01/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed audit work papers relating analysis of DOE assets available to creditors.	2.10	735.00	1,543.50
11/01/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed parish schools financial data to develop ability-to-pay modeling for FY2018-FY2021.	2.20	385.00	847.00
11/04/22	Shelby Chaffos	Verified parish school financial statement data in ability to pay analysis.	0.70	330.00	231.00
11/17/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (CT) to further evaluate Department of Education credit estimate.	0.60	765.00	459.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 41 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
11/17/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed Department of Education financial statements to credit estimate.	2.20	385.00	847.00
11/17/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Developed credit estimate analysis for the Department of Education for FY2021 to FY2019.	2.50	385.00	962.50
11/17/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Continued to analyzed credit estimate analysis for the Department of Education for FY2021 from FY2019.	1.00	385.00	385.00
11/17/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (PS) to discuss Department of Education credit analysis.	0.60	385.00	231.00
11/18/22	Neil Librock	Discussed credit assessments for the Department of Education analysis with BRG (PS).	0.50	660.00	330.00
11/18/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (NL) regarding credit assessments for the Department of Education relating to evaluation of assets available to creditors.	0.50	765.00	382.50
11/18/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed IAC report regarding the Department of Education for credit estimate modeling.	0.30	385.00	115.50
11/18/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Developed Department of Education credit estimate analysis.	0.80	385.00	308.00
11/18/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed Department of Education credit estimate analysis in preparation for meeting with UCC Counsel.	0.50	385.00	192.50
11/21/22	Paul Shields	Analyzed financial data for development of Department of Education credit estimate.	0.30	765.00	229.50
11/21/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed statement of activities for Parish schools produced by Debtor for analysis of available assets to creditors.	1.70	735.00	1,249.50
11/22/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed IAC documents relating to high schools transferred for analysis of available assets to creditors.	1.40	735.00	1,029.00
11/22/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed financial statements of high schools for analysis of available assets to creditors.	2.20	735.00	1,617.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 42 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
12/08/22	Neil Librock	Analyzed financial statements for the development of credit estimate for Department of Education in preparation for mediation.	1.20	660.00	792.00
01/18/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed DOE St. John the Baptist property records.	0.50	450.00	225.00
01/25/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined DOE asset analysis in preparation to analyze DIP financing sources.	0.50	450.00	225.00
<b>Total for Task Code 397.00</b>			<b>62.60</b>		<b>27,412.00</b>
<b>Task Code: 600.00 - Claims / Liability Analysis (General)</b>					
12/22/22	Matthew Babcock	Evaluated cash flow issues related to Debtor's proposed DIP loan.	0.40	675.00	270.00
12/22/22	Paul Shields	Reviewed document request associated with Diocese of Rockville Centre DIP loan.	0.10	765.00	76.50
12/22/22	Ray Strong	Attended call BRG (CT) and UCC Counsel (KD) regarding Debtor's anticipated DIP loan requirements to bridge cash flow.	0.50	735.00	367.50
12/22/22	Ray Strong	Attended call with BRG (CT) to analyzed historical MOR operating activity pursuant to anticipated Debtor's DIP Loan.	0.30	735.00	220.50
12/22/22	Ray Strong	Analyze Debtor's anticipated DIP financing pursuant to UCC Counsel requests.	1.30	735.00	955.50
12/22/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (RS) and UCC Counsel (KD) to discuss Debtor's anticipated DIP loan requirements to fund bridge cash flow.	0.50	385.00	192.50
12/22/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (RS) to discuss admin office MOR operating activity reports pursuant to Debtor's anticipated DIP loan requirements.	0.30	385.00	115.50
12/22/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed Admin Office MOR operating activity reports for evaluation of Debtor's proposed DIP financing request.	1.30	385.00	500.50
12/22/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed PSIP MOR operating activity reports for evaluation of Debtor's proposed DIP financing request.	0.80	385.00	308.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 43 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
12/22/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined BRG document request of Debtor's anticipated DIP loan.	0.70	385.00	269.50
12/22/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated administration office MOR operating activity reports pursuant to Debtor's DIP loan request.	0.50	385.00	192.50
12/23/22	Ray Strong	Updated document requests from Debtor regarding DIP financing.	0.20	735.00	147.00
12/29/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed administration office MOR report relating to CFN spectrum licenses.	0.70	385.00	269.50
12/29/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed administration office MOR report relating to evaluation of cash and investments requirements.	0.60	385.00	231.00
12/30/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (RS) regarding Debtor's needs for DIP financing.	0.40	765.00	306.00
12/30/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with UCC Counsel (BM, KD) and BRG (RS) regarding Debtor's request for DIP financing to fund ongoing operations.	0.50	765.00	382.50
12/30/22	Paul Shields	Analyzed Debtor financial records regarding Debtor's request for DIP financing to fund ongoing operations.	0.70	765.00	535.50
12/30/22	Ray Strong	Attended call with UCC Counsel (BM, KD) and BRG (PS) regarding Debtor's request for DIP financing to fund ongoing operations.	0.50	735.00	367.50
12/30/22	Ray Strong	Attended call with BRG (PS) regarding Debtor's request for DIP financing to fund ongoing operations.	0.40	735.00	294.00
01/03/23	Shelby Chaffos	Continued analyzing cash and investments account balances from MOR data from October 2020 - October 2022 for evaluation of Debtor's proposed DIP.	1.30	385.00	500.50
01/03/23	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed cash and investments account balances from MOR data from October 2020 - October 2022 for evaluation of Debtor's proposed DIP.	2.60	385.00	1,001.00
01/03/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Joseph Hage Aaronson fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.30	150.00	45.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 44 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/03/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Kinsella Media fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.10	150.00	15.00
01/03/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Nixon Peabody fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.50	150.00	75.00
01/03/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Pachulski Stang Ziehl fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.50	150.00	75.00
01/03/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Reed Smith fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.20	150.00	30.00
01/03/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Ruskin Moscou Faltischek fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.20	150.00	30.00
01/03/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Robert Gerber fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.30	150.00	45.00
01/03/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Sitrick and Company fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.30	150.00	45.00
01/03/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Standard Valuation Services fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.10	150.00	15.00
01/03/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled BRG fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.40	150.00	60.00
01/03/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Jones Day fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.30	150.00	45.00
01/03/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Jefferies and Jon Conte fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.10	150.00	15.00
01/03/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Forchelli Deegan Terrana fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.20	150.00	30.00
01/03/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Michael R. Hogan fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.30	150.00	45.00
01/03/23	Victoria Ingle	Attended call with BRG (RS) regarding analysis of professional fees incurred to date.	0.20	150.00	30.00
01/03/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Alvarez & Marsal fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	1.10	150.00	165.00
01/03/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Binder & Schwartz fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.60	150.00	90.00
01/03/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Burns Bowen Bair fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.40	150.00	60.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 45 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/03/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed post petition professional fees approved by the Court for DIP financing analysis.	2.80	780.00	2,184.00
01/03/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with BRG (VI) regarding analysis of professional fees pursuant to UCC Counsel request.	0.20	780.00	156.00
01/03/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined MOR reports from October 2020 through September 2022 for bank account balance trends for evaluation of Debtor's proposed DIP financing.	1.20	450.00	540.00
01/03/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined MOR reports for November 2022 bank account for evaluation of Debtor's proposed DIP financing.	0.30	450.00	135.00
01/04/23	Shelby Chaffos	Investigated professional fees and expenses data from available interim reports on docket as of January 3, 2023.	2.60	385.00	1,001.00
01/04/23	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed cash disbursements made to professionals from the MOR supplementals for months October 2020 - October 2022.	2.00	385.00	770.00
01/04/23	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed cash and investments account balances from MOR data from October 2020 - October 2022 for evaluation of Debtor's proposed DIP.	1.00	385.00	385.00
01/04/23	Shelby Chaffos	Continued analyzing cash and investments account balances from MOR data from October 2020 - October 2022 for evaluation of Debtor's proposed DIP.	1.40	385.00	539.00
01/04/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Sitrick and Company fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.90	150.00	135.00
01/04/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Standard Valuation Services fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.20	150.00	30.00
01/04/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Burns Bowen Bair fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.50	150.00	75.00
01/04/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Michael R. Hogan fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.20	150.00	30.00





To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 46 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/04/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Jones Day fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.60	150.00	90.00
01/04/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Joseph Hage Aaronson fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.10	150.00	15.00
01/04/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Kinsella Media fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.10	150.00	15.00
01/04/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Nixon Peabody fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.50	150.00	75.00
01/04/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Reed Smith fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.70	150.00	105.00
01/04/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Robert Gerber fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.20	150.00	30.00
01/04/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Ruskin Moscou Faltischek fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.20	150.00	30.00
01/04/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Forchelli Deegan Terrana fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.20	150.00	30.00
01/04/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed post petition professional fees payments for ongoing DiP financing analysis.	2.70	780.00	2,106.00
01/04/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed financial statements contained in Debtor's MOR to evaluate DIP financing needs.	1.30	450.00	585.00
01/05/23	Matthew Babcock	Met with BRG (RS, PS, CT, SC) in order to analyze cash availability analysis.	1.20	725.00	870.00
01/05/23	Shelby Chaffos	Spoke with BRG (MB, RS, CT, PS) to review cash availability analysis.	1.20	385.00	462.00
01/05/23	Shelby Chaffos	Examined professional fees and expenses data from the Jones Day monthly fee applications for months October 2020 - November 2022 for DIP financing analysis.	1.90	385.00	731.50
01/05/23	Shelby Chaffos	Examined professional fees and expenses data from the Alvarez & Marsal and Reed Smith monthly fee applications for months October 2020 - November 2022 for DIP financing analysis.	2.30	385.00	885.50
01/05/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled Pachulski Stang Ziehl fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.30	150.00	45.00





To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 47 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/05/23	Victoria Ingle	Compiled additional filed fee applications for DIP financing analysis.	0.50	150.00	75.00
01/05/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (RS, MB, CT, SC) regarding analysis of available cash.	1.20	815.00	978.00
01/05/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with BRG (CT, MB, PS, SC) regarding evaluation of cash availability to fund case.	1.20	780.00	936.00
01/05/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed MOR data to evaluate Debtor's proposed DIP financing.	2.90	780.00	2,262.00
01/05/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed filed monthly fee notices for professional fee analysis relating to case funding estimates.	1.10	780.00	858.00
01/05/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Meeting with BRG (DRS, PS, SC, and MB) to discuss cash availability analysis.	1.20	450.00	540.00
01/06/23	Shelby Chaffos	Updated professional fee analysis to include payments made to professionals in November 2022 from MOR supplement for DIP financing analysis.	1.50	385.00	577.50
01/06/23	Shelby Chaffos	Updated cash and investments analysis by restrictions to include November 2022 data for evaluation of Debtor's proposed DIP loan.	1.10	385.00	423.50
01/06/23	Paul Shields	Evaluated additional document requests for Diocese cash flow projections for proposed DIP financing.	0.20	815.00	163.00
01/06/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with A&M (CM, AC) and BRG (CT, PS) to address MOR questions regarding post-petition liabilities.	0.30	780.00	234.00
01/06/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed general ledger data during post petition time frames for cash availability to evaluate Debtor's proposed DIP financing.	1.60	780.00	1,248.00
01/06/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with UCC Counsel (BM, GG) and BRG (CT) regarding analysis of survivor state court actions.	1.00	780.00	780.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 48 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/06/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Meeting with BRG (DRS) and UCC Counsel (BM and GG) to discuss survivor state court actions.	1.00	450.00	450.00
01/09/23	Shelby Chaffos	Examined professional fees and expenses data from the Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones and Burns Bowen Bair LLP monthly fee applications for months October 2020 - November 2022 for DIP loan analysis.	2.10	385.00	808.50
01/09/23	Shelby Chaffos	Examined professional fees and expenses data from the Kinsella Media LLC and Hon. Michael A. Hogan monthly fee applications for months October 2020 - November 2022 for DiP loan analysis.	2.20	385.00	847.00
01/09/23	Shelby Chaffos	Examined professional fees and expenses data from the Sitrick and Company, Inc. and Standard Valuation Services monthly fee applications for months October 2020 - November 2022 for DIP financing analysis.	2.70	385.00	1,039.50
01/09/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated evaluation of DIP financing analysis to include November MOR data.	1.10	450.00	495.00
01/10/23	Shelby Chaffos	Prepared summary schedule of professional fee analysis as of November 2022.	1.20	385.00	462.00
01/10/23	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed the Schedule of Payments to Professional from the MOR to identify discrepancies of payments and outstanding balances.	1.70	385.00	654.50
01/10/23	David Judd	Analyzed DIP financing documents provided by the Debtor.	0.80	850.00	680.00
01/10/23	David Judd	Analyzed Debtor DIP financing documents to evaluate cash flow projections/requirements.	0.40	850.00	340.00
01/10/23	Paul Shields	Evaluated the produced documents relating to the proposed DIP financing.	0.60	815.00	489.00
01/10/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (DJ, MB) to evaluate issues for consideration in connection with Plan confirmation.	0.50	815.00	407.50



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 49 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/10/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed post petition professional fees for Debtor's proposed DIP financing analysis.	1.80	780.00	1,404.00
01/10/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed financial results for Debtor proposed DIP financing analysis.	2.10	780.00	1,638.00
01/11/23	Matthew Babcock	Met with BRG (CT) in order to evaluate issues related to proposed DIP lending.	0.30	725.00	217.50
01/11/23	Matthew Babcock	Evaluated DIP lending issues, including potential sources of funding within Diocese and Diocese affiliates.	1.20	725.00	870.00
01/11/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (MB) regarding Debtor's proposed DIP financing.	0.30	450.00	135.00
01/12/23	Matthew Babcock	Met with UCC Counsel (KD, BM) and BRG (RS, PS, DJ, CT) to discuss issues related to proposed DIP financing.	0.50	725.00	362.50
01/12/23	Matthew Babcock	Examined Mission Assistance Corporation documents (including certificate of incorporation, and bylaws) in conjunction with DIP financing analysis.	0.20	725.00	145.00
01/12/23	David Judd	Participated in call with BRG (PS, RS, MB, CT) and UCC Counsel (KD, BM) regarding DIP financing proposed by the Debtor.	0.50	850.00	425.00
01/12/23	Paul Shields	Evaluated cash flow estimates provided in connection with DIP financing request.	0.40	815.00	326.00
01/12/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with UCC Counsel (BM, KD) and BRG (DJ, RS, MB, CT) regarding proposed DIP loan.	0.50	815.00	407.50
01/12/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with UCC Counsel (BM, KD) and BRG (DJ, RS, MB, CT) regarding financial analyses for Plan and Disclosure Statement [Partial].	0.60	815.00	489.00
01/12/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with UCC Counsel (BM, KD) and BRG (MB, PS, DJ, CT) regarding Debtor's proposed DIP financing.	0.50	780.00	390.00
01/12/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Attended call with UCC Counsel (BM, KD) and BRG (MB, PS, DJ, RS) regarding Debtor's proposed DIP financing.	0.60	450.00	270.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 50 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/12/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Participated in call with BRG (PS, RS, MB, DJ) and UCC Counsel (KD, BM) regarding financial analysis of the Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.50	450.00	225.00
01/17/23	Matthew Babcock	Met with UCC Counsel (JS, KD, BM, JE) and BRG (RS, PS, DJ) in order to discuss DIP financing issues.	0.70	725.00	507.50
01/17/23	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed post petition payments made to affiliates from MOR data for DIP financing analysis..	2.30	385.00	885.50
01/17/23	Jennifer Hull	Participated on call UCC Counsel (JS, KD, BM, JE) and BRG (MB, PS, RS, DJ) regarding proposed Debtor DIP financing.	0.70	825.00	577.50
01/17/23	David Judd	Analyzed the Debtor's response to the Jefferies employment application to prepare for call with Creditor Committee Counsel regarding the proposed DIP financing.	0.60	850.00	510.00
01/17/23	David Judd	Participated in call with BRG (PS, RS, MB, JH) and Creditor Committee Counsel (KD, JE, JS, BM) regarding the proposed DIP financing.	0.70	850.00	595.00
01/17/23	Jeffrey Shaw	Analyzed DRVC DIP financing model to understand MOR mapping.	0.10	635.00	63.50
01/17/23	Jeffrey Shaw	Met with BRG (PS) to discuss DRVC DIP model analysis.	0.20	635.00	127.00
01/17/23	Jeffrey Shaw	Evaluated DRVC DIP projection model for conversion to excel.	2.90	635.00	1,841.50
01/17/23	Jeffrey Shaw	Evaluated actual and forecasted monthly projections for the DRVC DIP model.	2.10	635.00	1,333.50
01/17/23	Jeffrey Shaw	Continued to evaluate assumptions and components of the actual and forecasted annual projections for the DRVC DIP model.	0.80	635.00	508.00
01/17/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (JS) regarding evaluation of DIP loan model.	0.20	815.00	163.00
01/17/23	Paul Shields	Reviewed Debtor's reply to UCC objection to DIP loan.	0.20	815.00	163.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 51 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/17/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with UCC Counsel (JS, KD, BM, JE) and BRG (DJ, RS, MB, JH) regarding analysis relating to DIP financing.	0.70	815.00	570.50
01/17/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (RS) to further evaluate projections issued in context of the proposed DIP loan.	0.50	815.00	407.50
01/17/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with UCC Counsel (KD, BM, JS, JE) and BRG team (MB, PS, JH, DJ) regarding DIP financing proposed by Debtor.	0.70	780.00	546.00
01/17/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed post petition payments to affiliates pursuant to UCC Counsel requests for DIP analysis.	1.10	780.00	858.00
01/17/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with BRG (PS) to discuss Debtor's DIP funding projections.	0.50	780.00	390.00
01/18/23	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed post petition payments made to affiliates from MOR DIP financing analysis.	1.20	385.00	462.00
01/18/23	David Judd	Participated in call with BRG (PS) regarding developing information requests in connection with the DIP financing cash flows and projections.	0.60	850.00	510.00
01/18/23	Paul Shields	Updated document request relating to Diocese DIP financing forecast.	0.30	815.00	244.50
01/18/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (DJ) regarding document request in connection with DIP loan model.	0.60	815.00	489.00
01/18/23	Paul Shields	Prepared updates to document request relating to the DIP loan model.	0.90	815.00	733.50
01/18/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed general ledger detail for post petition activity with affiliates for DIP financing analysis.	1.20	780.00	936.00
01/18/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined September 2022 and October 2022 MOR reports to confirm cash amounts in the DIP model.	0.40	450.00	180.00
01/18/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined Diocese of Rockville Centre DIP forecast for evaluation of cash requirements.	0.20	450.00	90.00
01/19/23	Paul Shields	Remitted updated DIP loan model document request to UCC Counsel.	0.10	815.00	81.50



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 52 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/20/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (RS) to update document request relating to MORs and DIP loan model.	0.30	815.00	244.50
01/20/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with BRG (PS) regarding DIP Financing document requests.	0.30	780.00	234.00
01/20/23	Ray Strong	Prepared additional document and information requests to be sent to A&M for DIP financing analysis.	1.10	780.00	858.00
01/23/23	Ray Strong	Prepared additional document analyses to be sent to A&M for DIP financing.	1.20	780.00	936.00
01/23/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined follow up items in connection with MOR/DIP document requests.	0.10	450.00	45.00
01/24/23	Jennifer Hull	Attended call with BRG (RS, CT [partial]) about sources of DIP financing.	0.50	825.00	412.50
01/24/23	David Judd	Participated in call with BRG (RS, PS, MB) and A&M (AC, RN, CM) regarding additional requests for information.	0.50	850.00	425.00
01/24/23	David Judd	Participated in call with BRG (PS, RS, CT) regarding requests for additional information.	0.40	850.00	340.00
01/24/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (DJ, RS, CT) regarding MOR/DIP loan document request.	0.40	815.00	326.00
01/24/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with Debtor's Financial Advisors (CM, AC, RN) and BRG (DJ, RS, CT) regarding MOR/DIP loan document request.	0.50	815.00	407.50
01/24/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with BRG Team (PS, DJ, CT) and A&M (CM, AC, RN) regarding document requests.	0.50	780.00	390.00
01/24/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with BRG Team (PS, DJ, CT) regarding Debtor's DIP forecast document request.	0.40	780.00	312.00
01/24/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with BRG (JH, CT [partial]) regarding DIP financing issues.	0.50	780.00	390.00
01/24/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with Rock Creek (JS, BC) and BRG (CT) regarding Debtor DIP financing issues.	0.30	780.00	234.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 53 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/24/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed alternative DIP financing sources in preparation for Debtor's motion to approve DIP financing.	1.50	780.00	1,170.00
01/24/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (RS, JH) to discuss DIP financing sources [Partial].	0.30	450.00	135.00
01/24/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (RS) and Rock Creek (JS, RC) to discuss proposed Debtor DIP financing issues.	0.30	450.00	135.00
01/24/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Attended call with BRG (RS, PS, DJ) and A&M (AC, CM, RN) to discuss additional information requests.	0.50	450.00	225.00
01/24/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (RS, PS, DJ) post meeting with A&M to discuss additional information requests for Debtor's proposed DIP financing.	0.40	450.00	180.00
01/25/23	Ray Strong	Prepared additional document requests for analysis of potential Debtor's DIP financing.	0.70	780.00	546.00
01/25/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed case documents for support of affiliate DIP financing alternatives.	2.10	780.00	1,638.00
01/25/23	Ray Strong	Met with BRG (CT) to analyze documents relating potential affiliate DIP funding alternatives.	0.20	780.00	156.00
01/25/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (RS) to analyze DIP financing sources.	0.20	450.00	90.00
01/26/23	David Judd	Participated in call with BRG (PS, CT) and UCC Counsel (JS, KD, BM) regarding the proposed DIP financing.	0.50	850.00	425.00
01/26/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with UCC Counsel (JS, KD, BM) and BRG (DJ, CT) regarding issues for consideration in connection with the DIP loan.	0.50	815.00	407.50
01/26/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (PS, DJ) and UCC Counsel (BM, JS, KD) to discuss DIP financing analysis.	0.50	450.00	225.00
01/26/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated DIP funding analysis with information provided from Rock Creek.	1.30	450.00	585.00
01/30/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Evaluated documents needing to be declassified for DIP financing analysis.	0.50	450.00	225.00





To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 54 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/31/23	Shelby Chaffos	Updated professional fee analysis to include payments made to professionals from MOR supplemental in December 2022 for DIP financing analysis.	1.60	385.00	616.00
01/31/23	Shelby Chaffos	Examined professional fees and expenses data from available monthly applications on docket as of January 31, 2023 for DIP financing analysis.	1.00	385.00	385.00
01/31/23	Jared Funk	Analyzed monthly MOR financial statements for evaluation of DIP financing model provided by Debtor.	2.00	595.00	1,190.00
01/31/23	Jared Funk	Evaluated net asset balances for reconciliation of DIP cash flow model.	2.50	595.00	1,487.50
<b>Total for Task Code 600.00</b>			<b>129.70</b>		<b>72,674.50</b>
<b>Task Code: 610.00 - Claims / Liability Analysis (Survivor Claims)</b>					
11/09/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Converted insurance coverage summary table to Excel in preparation for analysis.	1.70	385.00	654.50
11/09/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed insurance coverage data pursuant to UCC Counsel request.	1.90	385.00	731.50
11/14/22	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed additional insured entities/policies for insurance analysis requested by UCC Counsel.	1.00	330.00	330.00
11/14/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed additional insured entities/policies for insurance analysis requested by UCC Counsel.	1.20	385.00	462.00
11/15/22	Shelby Chaffos	Examined additional insured entities/policies for insurance analysis requested by UCC Counsel.	1.20	330.00	396.00
11/15/22	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed additional insured entities/policies for Entities H-I for insurance analysis requested by UCC Counsel.	2.70	330.00	891.00
11/15/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed insurance policies at request of UCC Counsel.	1.70	385.00	654.50
11/17/22	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed additional insured entities/policies for entities A-G as requested by UCC Counsel.	2.90	330.00	957.00





To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 55 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
11/17/22	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed additional insured entities/policies for entities H-I as requested by UCC Counsel.	1.00	330.00	330.00
11/18/22	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed insurance coverage data pursuant to UCC Counsel request.	2.90	330.00	957.00
11/18/22	Shelby Chaffos	Continued to analyzed Insurance coverage data pursuant to request of UCC Counsel.	0.60	330.00	198.00
11/18/22	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed additional insured entities/policies for entities I-S as requested by UCC Counsel.	2.30	330.00	759.00
11/18/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Evaluated insurance coverage data pursuant to UCC Counsel request.	2.10	385.00	808.50
11/21/22	Shelby Chaffos	Evaluated insurance coverage data pursuant to UCC Counsel request.	0.50	330.00	165.00
11/21/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined insurance coverage data in preparation for combining with claims data.	1.50	385.00	577.50
11/21/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed pre-petition state court litigation data pursuant to UCC Counsel request.	1.00	385.00	385.00
11/21/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Combined insurance coverage and claims data pursuant to UCC Counsel request.	0.90	385.00	346.50
11/21/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed combined insurance/claims data to provide results to UCC Counsel.	1.30	385.00	500.50
11/21/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Standardized insurance coverage defendant names for combination of claims data.	2.80	385.00	1,078.00
11/22/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated combined coverage/claims data with UCC Counsel requests.	2.90	385.00	1,116.50
11/22/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Continued to analyze Insurance coverage data pursuant to request of UCC Counsel.	2.90	385.00	1,116.50
11/23/22	Shelby Chaffos	Analyzed additional insured entities/policies for entities S-Y.	1.60	330.00	528.00
11/23/22	Ray Strong	Discussed Parish litigation/claims analysis with BRG team (CT) pursuant to UCC Counsel requests.	0.50	735.00	367.50



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 56 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
11/23/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (RS) to discuss Parish litigation/claims data.	0.50	385.00	192.50
11/23/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated insurance coverage/claims analysis with additional information provided.	2.30	385.00	885.50
11/23/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed combined insurance/claims data with additional queries from UCC Counsel.	2.40	385.00	924.00
11/28/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated insurance/claims analysis in preparation for meeting with UCC Counsel.	1.60	385.00	616.00
11/28/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Combined insurance coverage/claims data with cash/investments pursuant to UCC Counsel request.	0.80	385.00	308.00
11/29/22	Ray Strong	Attended call with UCC Counsel (BM, KD) and BRG (CT) regarding ongoing Parish claims analysis.	0.50	735.00	367.50
11/29/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed claims data in preparation for meeting with UCC Counsel.	1.30	385.00	500.50
11/29/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (RS) and UCC Counsel (BM, KD) to discuss Parish claims analysis.	0.50	385.00	192.50
11/29/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims data with additional information provided by UCC Counsel.	1.90	385.00	731.50
11/30/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated defendant names for claims analysis.	2.00	385.00	770.00
11/30/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Continued to update defendant names for claims analysis.	2.30	385.00	885.50
12/01/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated defendant names for claims analysis.	1.30	385.00	500.50
12/01/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Evaluated claims data in preparation for call with UCC Counsel to address pending questions.	2.70	385.00	1,039.50
12/01/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed claims data for possible duplicate defendants.	1.20	385.00	462.00
12/02/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed claims data by defendant pursuant to UCC Counsel request.	2.10	385.00	808.50
12/02/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed claims to identify parish defendants.	1.80	385.00	693.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 57 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
12/05/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed claims data by defendant category pursuant to UCC Counsel request.	1.60	385.00	616.00
12/06/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims analysis with parish locations/Diocese identification numbers.	1.70	385.00	654.50
12/06/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims analysis with law firm/attorney fields.	1.10	385.00	423.50
12/07/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims data with defendant reference numbers.	2.30	385.00	885.50
12/07/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims data with Diocese Parish identification numbers/locations.	2.90	385.00	1,116.50
12/08/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Evaluated claims data in preparation for meeting with UCC Counsel.	1.50	385.00	577.50
12/08/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with UCC Counsel (BM) to discuss Parish claims analysis.	0.50	385.00	192.50
12/08/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims analysis with parish locations.	1.10	385.00	423.50
12/08/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims data with defendant coverage schedule pursuant to inquiries from UCC Counsel.	1.40	385.00	539.00
12/09/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Prepared claims schedules pursuant to inquiries from UCC Counsel.	2.30	385.00	885.50
12/09/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims index with additional parish data.	0.70	385.00	269.50
12/09/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed Debtor schedule of entities allegedly not affiliated with the Diocese for claims analysis.	1.50	385.00	577.50
12/09/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims data with additional data provided by UCC Counsel.	0.40	385.00	154.00
12/09/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims data with information contained in Debtor's schedule of entities allegedly not affiliated with the Diocese.	2.10	385.00	808.50
12/12/22	Ray Strong	Discussed Parish claim data analysis requested by UCC Counsel with BRG (CT).	0.60	735.00	441.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 58 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
12/12/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Discussed parish claims data analysis requested by UCC Counsel with BRG (RS).	0.60	385.00	231.00
12/12/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims data with additional information provided by UCC Counsel.	2.80	385.00	1,078.00
12/12/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed claims data to identify potential duplicate claims.	2.50	385.00	962.50
12/12/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims data with additional parish location information.	0.70	385.00	269.50
12/12/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims schedules to send to UCC Counsel.	0.40	385.00	154.00
12/13/22	Ray Strong	Discussed state court litigation analysis with BRG (CT).	0.30	735.00	220.50
12/13/22	Ray Strong	Discussed state court litigation analysis with UCC Counsel (BM) and BRG (CT).	0.20	735.00	147.00
12/13/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed state court litigation defendants for claims analysis.	2.50	735.00	1,837.50
12/13/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims data with insurance coverage tables pursuant to UCC Counsel inquiries.	1.70	385.00	654.50
12/13/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (RS) regarding state court litigation analysis.	0.30	385.00	115.50
12/13/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (RS) and PSZJ (BM) regarding state court litigation analysis.	0.20	385.00	77.00
12/13/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed claims data for potential duplicate claims.	2.30	385.00	885.50
12/13/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Compared insurance coverage table with master index at request of UCC Counsel.	2.80	385.00	1,078.00
12/14/22	Ray Strong	Updated claims data with state court litigation defendant information.	2.70	735.00	1,984.50
12/14/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Continued updating claims data with state court litigation defendant information.	2.90	385.00	1,116.50
12/14/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated master index with insurance coverage data at request of UCC Counsel.	2.90	385.00	1,116.50



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 59 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
12/14/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Continued updating master index with insurance coverage data at request of UCC Counsel.	2.80	385.00	1,078.00
12/15/22	Ray Strong	Evaluated claims data regarding identification of state court litigation defendants.	2.20	735.00	1,617.00
12/15/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims data with additional data provided by UCC Counsel.	1.30	385.00	500.50
12/16/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated insurance coverage tables with State Court Counsel information.	1.10	385.00	423.50
12/19/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed claims data for abuse levels/tiers pursuant to UCC Counsel request.	1.20	385.00	462.00
12/19/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with UCC Counsel (GG) to discuss PI Coverage table and lawsuits.	0.30	385.00	115.50
12/19/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed insurance coverage data relating to Ecclesia analysis pursuant to UCC Counsel inquires.	1.60	385.00	616.00
12/19/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed Insurance coverage data pursuant to UCC Counsel inquires.	1.30	385.00	500.50
12/20/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed Insurance coverage data pursuant to UCC Counsel inquires.	1.70	385.00	654.50
12/21/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated Insurance coverage data pursuant to UCC Counsel inquires.	1.80	385.00	693.00
12/30/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims analysis with additional requests from UCC Counsel.	0.40	385.00	154.00
12/30/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed claims data with affiliated law firm/attorney in pre-petition state court actions.	1.40	385.00	539.00
01/03/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed PI Coverage table to identify duplicate claims.	2.40	450.00	1,080.00
01/03/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims analysis with PI Coverage table pursuant to UCC Counsel requests.	2.30	450.00	1,035.00
01/03/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated insurance coverage analysis for survivor claims to send to UCC Counsel.	1.00	450.00	450.00
01/03/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims analysis based on UCC Counsel requests.	1.30	450.00	585.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 60 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/04/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Evaluated claims data analysis to identify questions for UCC Counsel.	1.40	450.00	630.00
01/06/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims analysis with claim defendant data.	1.10	450.00	495.00
01/06/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims analysis pursuant to duplicate investigation.	1.30	450.00	585.00
01/09/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed state court actions filed for claims analysis.	2.40	780.00	1,872.00
01/09/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Evaluated PI coverage table to update claims analysis.	2.20	450.00	990.00
01/09/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims analysis with law firm/attorney data.	1.00	450.00	450.00
01/10/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed state court actions filed for claims analysis.	2.10	780.00	1,638.00
01/10/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Evaluated PI coverage table pursuant to UCC Counsel inquiries.	1.60	450.00	720.00
01/10/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims analysis with missing law firms/attorneys.	1.70	450.00	765.00
01/10/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined CVA complaints provided by UCC Counsel for survivor claims analysis.	0.50	450.00	225.00
01/11/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed state court actions filed for claims analysis.	1.70	780.00	1,326.00
01/24/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims analysis based on claim objections letter received.	2.30	450.00	1,035.00
01/25/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims analysis based on claim objections letter received.	1.70	450.00	765.00
01/30/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Updated claims analysis with PSIP general ledger data.	2.10	450.00	945.00
<b>Total for Task Code 610.00</b>			<b>159.00</b>		<b>67,624.00</b>
<b>Task Code: 630.00 - Claims / Liability Analysis (Pension)</b>					
10/05/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined Crowe audit workpaper documents relating to the Qualified Plan from FY2017 to FY2020 for benefit analysis.	2.40	385.00	924.00
10/05/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined Crowe audit workpaper documents relating to the Pension Plan from FY2017 to FY2020 for pension analysis.	2.00	385.00	770.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 61 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
10/05/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Continued to examine Crowe audit workpaper documents relating to the Pension Plan from FY2017 to FY2020 for pension analysis.	1.70	385.00	654.50
11/08/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed pension documents produced by Debtor for pension analysis.	1.10	735.00	808.50
11/09/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed IAC documents produced by Debtor for pension analysis.	2.20	735.00	1,617.00
11/10/22	Matthew Babcock	Met with UCC Counsel (BM, KD, JD), Rock Creek (JS, CP, BC), Groom (MK, KK) and BRG (RS, CT) to discuss pension plan analysis.	1.30	675.00	877.50
11/10/22	Ray Strong	Attended call with UCC Counsel (BM, JD, KD), Groom (MK, KK), Rock Creek (BC, CP, JS) and BRG (CT, MB) regarding pension analysis.	1.30	735.00	955.50
11/10/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (CT, RS), PSZJ (BM, KD, JD), Rock Creek (JS, CP, BC), and Groom (MK, KK) to discuss pension liability analysis.	1.30	385.00	500.50
11/16/22	Matthew Babcock	Met with UCC Counsel (JD, KD), Rock Creek (JS, CP, BC), Groom (MK, KK) and BRG (RS) in order to discuss pension plan analysis.	0.70	675.00	472.50
11/16/22	Ray Strong	Attended call with UCC Committee (JD, KD-Partial), Rockcreek (JS, CP, BC), Gross (MK, KK), and BRG (MB) regarding pension analysis.	0.70	735.00	514.50
11/22/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed financial statements produced by Debtor for pension analysis.	1.20	735.00	882.00
12/02/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed Diocese of Rockville pension memo.	0.70	385.00	269.50
<b>Total for Task Code 630.00</b>			<b>16.60</b>		<b>9,246.00</b>
<b>Task Code: 800.00 - Plan &amp; Disclosure Statement Analysis</b>					
12/29/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed assets available to fund a plan of reorganization.	1.40	735.00	1,029.00
12/30/22	Paul Shields	Analyzed financial issues relating to Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.30	765.00	229.50





To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 62 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/03/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed assets identified as restricted to assess availability to fund Plan.	1.70	780.00	1,326.00
01/04/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed assets identified as restricted to assess availability to fund Plan.	2.30	780.00	1,794.00
01/09/23	Matthew Babcock	Evaluated financial issues related to Plan and Disclosure Statement.	1.20	725.00	870.00
01/10/23	Matthew Babcock	Met with BRG (RS) evaluate issues related to Plan and Disclosure Statement.	1.90	725.00	1,377.50
01/10/23	Matthew Babcock	Evaluated financial issues related to Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.60	725.00	435.00
01/10/23	Matthew Babcock	Met with BRG (PS, DJ) in order to discuss issues related to UCC Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.50	725.00	362.50
01/10/23	David Judd	Participated in call with BRG (MB, PS) to analyze financial data for the Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.50	850.00	425.00
01/10/23	Paul Shields	Evaluated issues for consideration in connection with Plan confirmation.	1.10	815.00	896.50
01/10/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with BRG (MB) regarding Plan and Disclosure Statement issues.	1.90	780.00	1,482.00
01/11/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed Plan options for development of Committee Plan and Disclosure Statement.	2.20	780.00	1,716.00
01/12/23	Matthew Babcock	Met with UCC Counsel (KD, BM) and BRG (RS, PS, DJ, CT) to evaluate financial issues related to Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.60	725.00	435.00
01/12/23	Matthew Babcock	Met with BRG (RS, DJ, PS-Partial) in order to discuss information required to prepare financial analyses for Plan and Disclosure Statement.	1.00	725.00	725.00
01/12/23	David Judd	Participated in call with BRG (PS, RS, MB, CT) and UCC Counsel (KD, BM) regarding financial analysis of the Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.60	850.00	510.00
01/12/23	David Judd	Participated in call with BRG (RS, MB, PS-Partial) regarding additional information required to prepare financial analyses for the Plan and Disclosure Statement.	1.00	850.00	850.00





To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 63 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/12/23	Paul Shields	Evaluated issues for consideration in connection with Plan related issues.	0.90	815.00	733.50
01/12/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with BRG (DJ, MB, PS-Partial) to discuss financial analysis required for Plan.	1.00	780.00	780.00
01/12/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with UCC Counsel (BM, KD) and BRG (MB, PS, DH, CT) regarding financial analyses of Plan & Disclosure Statement issues.	0.60	780.00	468.00
01/13/23	Matthew Babcock	Met with BRG (RS, DJ, JH-Partial) to discuss Ecclesia/PSIP issues related to the Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.80	725.00	580.00
01/13/23	Jennifer Hull	Participated in call with BRG (RS, MB, DJ) to evaluate PSIP/Ecclesia issues for Plan and Disclosure Statement [Partial].	0.40	825.00	330.00
01/13/23	David Judd	Participated in call with BRG (RS, MB, JH-Partial) regarding PSIP/Ecclesia issues for Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.80	850.00	680.00
01/13/23	Paul Shields	Analyzed funding issues for Plan and Disclosure Statement financial analysis.	0.40	815.00	326.00
01/13/23	Paul Shields	Identified additional documents requests relating to Plan and Disclosure Statement evaluation.	0.40	815.00	326.00
01/13/23	Paul Shields	Evaluated assets/liabilities of Ecclesia/PSIP in the context of assessing Plan confirmation issues.	0.80	815.00	652.00
01/13/23	Ray Strong	Examined Plan options for development of Committee Plan and Disclosure Statement.	1.90	780.00	1,482.00
01/13/23	Ray Strong	Discussed with BRG (MB, DJ, JH-Partial) PSIP/Ecclesia relating to Plan and Disclosure Statement.	1.00	780.00	780.00
01/17/23	Matthew Babcock	Met with UCC Counsel (KD, BM) and BRG (RS, PS, DJ) to discuss Plan and Disclosure Statement financial analyses.	0.80	725.00	580.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 64 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/17/23	Matthew Babcock	Met with BRG (PS, DJ) in order to discuss Plan and Disclosure Statement financial analysis.	0.50	725.00	362.50
01/17/23	David Judd	Participated in call with BRG (PS) regarding developing information requests in connection Plan and Disclosure Statement.	1.20	850.00	1,020.00
01/17/23	David Judd	Participated in call with BRG (PS, RS, MB) and Creditor Counsel (KD, BM) regarding financial analysis for the Plan and Disclosure statement.	0.80	850.00	680.00
01/17/23	David Judd	Participated in call with BRG (MB, PS) to analyze financial data for the Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.50	850.00	425.00
01/17/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (DJ) regarding document request relating to Plan and Disclosure Statement.	1.20	815.00	978.00
01/17/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (DJ, MB) regarding financial analysis for consideration in connection with Plan & Disclosure Statement.	0.50	815.00	407.50
01/17/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with UCC Counsel (KD, BM) and BRG (DJ, RS, MB) regarding financial analyses for Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.80	815.00	652.00
01/17/23	Ray Strong	Evaluated Plan options for development of Committee Plan and Disclosure Statement.	2.20	780.00	1,716.00
01/17/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with UCC Counsel (KD, BM) and BRG (PS, MB, DJ) regarding Plan analyses.	0.80	780.00	624.00
01/18/23	Matthew Babcock	Met with UCC Counsel (JD), Rock Creek (JS, BC, CP) and BRG (RS, PS, DJ) to evaluate benefit plans relating to Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.90	725.00	652.50
01/18/23	Shelby Chaffos	Met with BRG (RS) and UCC Counsel (KD, BM, JS) on post petition payments made to affiliates for Plan & Disclosure Statement.	0.60	385.00	231.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 65 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/18/23	Jennifer Hull	Attended a call with UCC Counsel (BM, IN) about PSIP/Ecclesia data for analysis of Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.30	825.00	247.50
01/18/23	David Judd	Participated in call with BRG (PS, MB, RS) UCC Counsel (JD) and Rock Creek (JS, BC, CP) regarding benefits plans in the context of Plan and Disclosure Statement issues.	0.80	850.00	680.00
01/18/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with Rock Creek (JS, CP, BC), UCC Counsel (JD), and BRG (DJ, RS, MB) regarding benefit plans relating to the Plan and Disclosure.	0.90	815.00	733.50
01/18/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with UCC Counsel (JD), Rock Creek (JS, CP, BC), and BRG (PS, DJ, MB) regarding benefit plans relating to the Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.90	780.00	702.00
01/18/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with UCC Counsel (JS, BM, DK) and BRG (SC) regarding analysis of post petition activity with affiliates for Plan & Disclosure Statement.	0.50	780.00	390.00
01/19/23	Ray Strong	Evaluated Dioceses' assets for development of Committee Plan and Disclosure Statement.	2.80	780.00	2,184.00
01/20/23	Jennifer Hull	Analyzed Ecclesia data for financial analysis relating to Plan and Disclosure Statement.	2.60	825.00	2,145.00
01/20/23	Ray Strong	Evaluated Dioceses' liabilities for development of Committee Plan and Disclosure Statement.	2.40	780.00	1,872.00
01/23/23	Matthew Babcock	Met with UCC Counsel (JS, KD, BM) and BRG (RS, PS, DJ, CT, JH) to evaluate financial analyses for Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.70	725.00	507.50
01/23/23	Matthew Babcock	Analyzed financial issues relating to evaluation of Plan and Disclosure Statement issues.	0.10	725.00	72.50
01/23/23	Jennifer Hull	Attended a call with Counsel (KD, BM, IN) about Ecclesia analysis.	0.30	825.00	247.50



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 66 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/23/23	Jennifer Hull	Participated on call with Counsel (KD, BM, JS) and BRG (RS, PS, DJ, CT, MB) to evaluate Plan related issues.	0.70	825.00	577.50
01/23/23	David Judd	Participated in call with BRG (PS, RS, MB, JH, CT) and UCC Counsel (KD, JS, BM) regarding financial analysis for the Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.70	850.00	595.00
01/23/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with UCC Counsel (JS, KD, BM) and BRG (DJ, RS, MB, CT, JH) to evaluate financial analyses Plan related issues.	0.70	815.00	570.50
01/23/23	Ray Strong	Evaluated PSIP/Ecclesia insurance issues for Plan and Disclosure Statement development.	2.10	780.00	1,638.00
01/23/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with UCC Counsel (KD, BM, JS) and BRG team (PS, CT, DJ, JH, MB) regarding Plan issues.	0.70	780.00	546.00
01/23/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined Diocese of Rockville Centre Disclosure Statement in preparation for meeting with UCC Counsel.	0.30	450.00	135.00
01/23/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (PS, MB, RS, DJ, JH) and UCC Counsel (BM, KD) to discuss Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.70	450.00	315.00
01/24/23	Matthew Babcock	Met with BRG (RS, PS, DJ, CT) to analyze issues related to financial analyses for Plan and Disclosure Statement.	1.30	725.00	942.50
01/24/23	Jennifer Hull	Evaluated captive dissolution procedures for financial analyses of Plan and Disclosure Statement.	2.50	825.00	2,062.50
01/24/23	David Judd	Participated in call with BRG (PS, RS, MB, CT) regarding preparation of financial analysis for the Plan and Disclosure Statement.	1.30	850.00	1,105.00
01/24/23	Paul Shields	Evaluated considerations for financial analyses relating to Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.30	815.00	244.50
01/24/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (DJ, RS, MB, CT) regarding issues for consideration in connection with Plan and Disclosure Statement issues.	1.30	815.00	1,059.50



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 67 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/24/23	Ray Strong	Attended call with BRG team (PS, DJ, CT, MB) regarding various assignments relating to financial analyses for Plan and Disclosure Statement.	1.30	780.00	1,014.00
01/24/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (RS, MB, PS, DJ) to discuss assignments relating to Plan and Disclosure analyses.	1.30	450.00	585.00
01/25/23	Jennifer Hull	Analyzed New York Liquidation Bureau data for financial analyses relating to Plan and Disclosure Statement.	2.60	825.00	2,145.00
01/25/23	Jennifer Hull	Analyzed Ecclesia termination requirements for Plan & Disclosure analysis.	0.50	825.00	412.50
01/25/23	David Judd	Reviewed the Committee draft Disclosure Statement as requested by UCC Counsel.	0.60	850.00	510.00
01/25/23	Paul Shields	Updated financial analyses relating to Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.20	815.00	163.00
01/25/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed PSIP/Ecclesia insurance issues for development of Plan and Disclosure Statement.	2.20	780.00	1,716.00
01/25/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed draft Disclosure Statement sent by UCC Counsel.	2.10	780.00	1,638.00
01/26/23	Jennifer Hull	Analyzed effects of discount rates on Ecclesia reserves for Plan and Disclosure Statement analysis.	0.50	825.00	412.50
01/26/23	Jennifer Hull	Participated on call with BRG (PS, DJ) regarding insurance issues for Plan and Disclosure Statement analysis [Partial].	0.40	825.00	330.00
01/26/23	Jennifer Hull	Participated on call with Counsel (IN) about insurance analyses for Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.40	825.00	330.00
01/26/23	David Judd	Participated in call with BRG (PS, JH [partial]) regarding financial analysis for the Plan and Disclosure Statement.	1.90	850.00	1,615.00
01/26/23	David Judd	Participated in call with BRG (PS, RS, CT) and UCC Counsel (JS, KD, BM) regarding financial issues relating to the Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.70	850.00	595.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 68 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/26/23	David Judd	Participated in call with BRG (PS, RS, CT) regarding financial analysis of issues relating to the Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.80	850.00	680.00
01/26/23	David Judd	Analyzed financial data for Plan and Disclosure Statement evaluation.	1.20	850.00	1,020.00
01/26/23	Paul Shields	Identified additional issues for consideration in connection with Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.10	815.00	81.50
01/26/23	Paul Shields	Developed financial analyses in connection with Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.60	815.00	489.00
01/26/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with UCC Counsel (JS, KD, BM) and BRG (DJ, RS, CT) regarding financial issues with Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.70	815.00	570.50
01/26/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (DJ, RS, CT) to evaluate financial issues related to Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.80	815.00	652.00
01/26/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (DJ, JH [partial]) regarding financial analysis for Plan and Disclosure Statement.	1.90	815.00	1,548.50
01/26/23	Ray Strong	Discussed financial issues related to Plan and Disclosure Statement with UCC Counsel (KD, BM [partial]) and BRG (CT, DJ, PS).	0.70	780.00	546.00
01/26/23	Ray Strong	Discussed financial issues related to Plan and Disclosure Statement with BRG team (PS, DJ, CT).	0.80	780.00	624.00
01/26/23	Ray Strong	Analyzed draft Disclosure Statement sent by Counsel.	1.10	780.00	858.00
01/26/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined Diocese of Rockville Centre Disclosure Statement in preparation for meeting with UCC Counsel.	1.00	450.00	450.00
01/26/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Spoke with BRG (PS, MB, RS, DJ) and PSZJ (BM, JS, KD) to discuss financial issues related to Plan and Disclosure Statement issues.	0.70	450.00	315.00
01/26/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with BRG (PS, RS, DJ) to discuss financial issues related to Plan and Disclosure Statement issues.	0.80	450.00	360.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 69 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/27/23	Jennifer Hull	Analyzed PSIP participant data for Plan and Disclosure Statement analysis.	0.40	825.00	330.00
01/27/23	Paul Shields	Evaluated needs for insurance experts relating to Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.20	815.00	163.00
01/27/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined PSIP audit workpapers for financial analyses relating to Plan and Disclosure Statement..	1.10	450.00	495.00
01/27/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Analyzed PSIP general ledger data relating to revenue items.	0.90	450.00	405.00
01/30/23	Paul Shields	Evaluated insurance expert needs for Plan and Disclosure Statement analyses.	0.20	815.00	163.00
01/30/23	Ray Strong	Evaluated Disclosure Statement filed by Debtor.	2.40	780.00	1,872.00
01/30/23	Ray Strong	Evaluated PSIP/Ecclesia insurance issues for development of Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.90	780.00	702.00
01/31/23	Jared Funk	Met with BRG (PS) regarding comparison of MOR financial information with data contained in Debtor DIP loan forecast.	0.70	595.00	416.50
01/31/23	Jennifer Hull	Analyzed Ecclesia's dividend requirements for Plan and Disclosure Statement analysis.	1.50	825.00	1,237.50
01/31/23	Jennifer Hull	Attended call with BRG (DJ, PS) regarding insurance issues for Plan and Disclosure Statement analysis.	0.50	825.00	412.50
01/31/23	David Judd	Participated in call with BRG (PS, JH) to analyze PSIP/Ecclesia issues for Plan and Disclosure Statement.	0.60	850.00	510.00
01/31/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (JF) regarding comparison of MORs financial information to actual financial information set forth in DIP loan model.	0.70	815.00	570.50
01/31/23	Paul Shields	Spoke with BRG (DJ, JH) regarding insurance related issues for Plan and Disclosure Statement analysis.	0.60	815.00	489.00
01/31/23	Ray Strong	Evaluated Disclosure Statement filed by Debtor.	1.50	780.00	1,170.00





To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 70 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01/31/23	Christina Tergevorkian	Examined Plans and Disclosure Statements filed in other Diocese cases.	0.50	450.00	225.00
<b>Total for Task Code 800.00</b>			<b>102.10</b>		<b>78,323.00</b>
<b>Task Code: 1020.00 - Meeting Preparation &amp; Attendance</b>					
11/18/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with UCC Counsel (JS [partial], KB, BM, KD, GG) and BRG (RS, CT) regarding status of ongoing analyses.	1.30	765.00	994.50
11/18/22	Ray Strong	Attended call with UCC Counsel (JS[Partial], BM, KD, KB, GG) and BRG (PS, CT) regarding status of ongoing analyses.	1.30	735.00	955.50
11/18/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Met with UCC Counsel (KD, BM, JS [partial], KB, and GG) and BRG (RS, PS) to discuss status of ongoing analyses.	1.30	385.00	500.50
12/08/22	Paul Shields	Spoke with UCC Counsel (KB, BM, KD, GG) and BRG (RS, CT) to evaluate matters assignments.	1.00	765.00	765.00
12/08/22	Ray Strong	Attended call with PSZJ (BM, KD, KB, GG) and BRG (PS, CT) to coordinate assignments.	1.00	735.00	735.00
12/08/22	Christina Tergevorkian	Meeting with BRG (RS, PS) and PSZJ (BM, KB, GG, KD) to discuss upcoming assignments and analyses.	1.00	385.00	385.00
<b>Total for Task Code 1020.00</b>			<b>6.90</b>		<b>4,335.50</b>
<b>Task Code: 1060.00 - Fee Application Preparation &amp; Hearing</b>					
10/26/22	Meagan B. Haverkamp	Summarized estimated BRG September fees and expenses for professional fee reporting to Debtor's FA.	0.20	300.00	60.00
11/01/22	Hannah Henritzy	Continued to prepare the Sixth Interim Fee Application.	0.70	195.00	136.50
11/01/22	Hannah Henritzy	Prepared the Sixth Interim Fee Application.	2.40	195.00	468.00
11/02/22	Hannah Henritzy	Continued to prepare the Sixth Interim Fee Application.	2.90	195.00	565.50
11/02/22	Hannah Henritzy	Continued to prepare the Sixth Interim Fee Application.	1.00	195.00	195.00
11/02/22	Hannah Henritzy	Prepared the Sixth Interim Fee Application.	2.90	195.00	565.50





To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 71 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
11/03/22	Hannah Henritz	Prepared the Sixth Interim Fee Application.	0.50	195.00	97.50
11/03/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed time entries for May 2022 for preparation of (May - September 2022) fee application.	0.70	735.00	514.50
11/03/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed time entries for June 2022 for preparation of (May - September 2022) fee application.	0.60	735.00	441.00
11/03/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed time entries for July 2022 for preparation of (May - September 2022) fee application.	0.60	735.00	441.00
11/03/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed time entries for August 2022 for preparation of (May - September 2022) fee application.	0.70	735.00	514.50
11/03/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed time entries for September 2022 for preparation of (May - September 2022) fee application.	0.80	735.00	588.00
11/07/22	Meagan B. Haverkamp	Incorporated additional edits into 6th interim fee application.	0.40	300.00	120.00
11/07/22	Meagan B. Haverkamp	Reviewed updated 6th interim fee application.	1.10	300.00	330.00
11/07/22	Meagan B. Haverkamp	Edited 6th interim fee application for additional team comments.	2.40	300.00	720.00
11/07/22	Meagan B. Haverkamp	Edited 6th interim fee application.	2.00	300.00	600.00
11/07/22	Ray Strong	Finalized invoice for June 2022 through September 2022 for 6th interim fee application.	0.70	735.00	514.50
11/08/22	Hannah Henritz	Prepared Sixth Interim fee application.	2.90	195.00	565.50
11/08/22	Hannah Henritz	Continued to prepare Sixth Interim fee application.	0.40	195.00	78.00
11/09/22	Meagan B. Haverkamp	Edited 6th interim fee application.	0.30	300.00	90.00
11/10/22	Meagan B. Haverkamp	Edited 6th interim fee application.	2.40	300.00	720.00
11/10/22	Ray Strong	Updated 6th Interim fee application narrative in preparation for filing.	2.10	735.00	1,543.50
11/11/22	Meagan B. Haverkamp	Edited 6th Interim Fee Application for filing.	0.70	300.00	210.00
12/15/22	Ray Strong	Analyzed fee application in preparation for fee application hearing on 6th interim fee application.	1.20	735.00	882.00



To: James I Stang  
c/o: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones

Page 72 of 72  
Invoice # 148631  
Client: 21145

<u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
12/16/22	Ray Strong	Attended hearing on BRG's 6th interim fee application covering the period of June 1, 2022 through September 30, 2022.	0.50	735.00	367.50
01/30/23	Meagan B. Haverkamp	Prepared Seventh interim fee application.	0.60	350.00	210.00
01/30/23	Brendan McCarthy	Prepared October 2022 through January 2023 Diocese of Rockville Centre fee application.	0.50	195.00	97.50
01/30/23	Brendan McCarthy	Prepared Seventh Interim Fee Application (October 2022 through January 2023).	0.30	195.00	58.50
01/30/23	Brendan McCarthy	Continued to prepare Seventh Interim Fee Application (October 2022 through January 2023).	0.30	195.00	58.50
01/30/23	Brendan McCarthy	Continued to prepare October 2022 through January 2023 fee application.	1.60	195.00	312.00
01/30/23	Brendan McCarthy	Continued to prepare October 2022 through January 2023 fee application.	0.60	195.00	117.00
01/31/23	Brendan McCarthy	Prepared October 2022 through January 2023 fee application.	0.60	195.00	117.00
<b>Total for Task Code 1060.00</b>			<b>35.60</b>		<b>12,298.50</b>
<b>Professional Services</b>			<b>1,046.60</b>		<b>573,973.50</b>

**DETAIL OF EXPENSES**

<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>
<b>Publications</b>		
01/20/23	Purchased article related to asset analyses.	32.42
	<b>Total For Publications</b>	<b>32.42</b>
<b>Expenses</b>		<b>32.42</b>